# COMMUNISTS AND FASCISTS CONTROL THE WEST

**By A. J. Reffes**

**Progress Publishers**

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright © 2025 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**INTRODUCTION 3**
**AMERICA'S WELFARE-WARFARE STATE 4**
**INTERNATIONAL CRIME ON CAMPUS 6**
**TWO PRESIDENTS, TWO SYMBOLS OF DEATH 8**
**CITY ON FIRE 11**
**THE EMINENT DOMAIN NIGHTMARE 14**
**MARXIST GUILLOTINE BEHEADS EUROPE 16**
**NETFLIX SAYS NO TO FREEDOM 18**
**RED GALES AT GLASTONBURY 21**
**THE ANTIDISCRIMINATION REGIME 23**
**RELIGIONS LEFT AND RIGHT 26**
**RED STAR, RED CRESCENT, WORLD REVOLUTION 28**
**TRUMP'S BILL IN AN AGE OF DECLINE 31**
**GERMANY'S NEW COMMUNIST JUDGE 33**
**PULP COMMUNISM 35**
**TWO CHURCHILLS 38**
**COMMUNISTS AND FASCISTS CONTROL THE WEST 40**
**AMERICA'S HIGH COURT GREENLIGHTS DICTATORSHIP 43**
**BLACK POWER SLAUGHTERS WHITES AT SUMMER CAMP 45**
**THE COLOR OF MICROENTITIES 47**
**AMERICA'S MOTION PICTURE PRODUCTION CODE: PROS AND CONS 50**

# INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

## AMERICA'S WELFARE-WARFARE STATE

A machine is a system which uses power to control movement and perform an action. Machines include man made engines and biological molecules. Machines can be driven by animals, people, wind, water, heat, chemical reactions and electrical currents. The earliest machine was the hand axe, a prehistoric triangular shaped stone tool driven by the human hand to serve as a splitting force. Over the past one hundred years, something unthinkable and inconceivable has happened which has signaled the bankruptcy and downfall of civilization. During this time, the leader of the free world has been transformed into a special kind of machine whose power source is the mob. Rejecting the functions of government set forth in its Constitution, rejecting the liberal Enlightenment functions of protecting the life, liberty and property of the individual, and reserving military force only to protect the nation against foreign aggression, this machine assumed the functions of violating individual rights at home by robbing Peter to pay Paul, and by intervening in the affairs of other nations abroad. It assumed the functions of enforcing the fearsome creed that the individual is his brother's keeper and must be taxed and enslaved to support his neighbor, and that the nation has the right to project military force throughout the world in order to overthrow governments it finds morally reprehensible. Over the past one hundred years since the advent of the New Deal administration of Franklin Roosevelt, the United States of America has been transformed into a welfare-warfare state which has shredded its Constitution, dismissed the legacies of the Enlightenment, and rendered the nation unrecognizable from its founding order. The power source of this state machine is the mob, which demands economic security from the government through the confiscation of citizens' wealth, and sanctions its government's involvement in other nations' affairs, for whatever reasons the mob provides, in the distant regions of the world. The welfare-warfare state: a regime of plunder at home and destruction abroad, by a popular leviathan rampaging out of control. The welfare-warfare state: the reduction of America to a travesty of a nation first conceived in liberty, and the final stop of the West into a Roman style amphitheater of bread and circuses and a garrison of imperialist wars. **Welfare.** Look at America in the twenty first century and see communism packaged as a guaranteed income program. See communism packaged as the highest form of progressivism. See 125 million dollars robbed from Peter to pay Paul. See unconditional monthly payments and unconditional lump sums given to the scum of the earth for wardrobes, haircuts and luxury holidays for themselves, their partners and their children. See the transformation of a free society into a research and experimentation camp in techniques of communist indoctrination, faith and force. See communism justified in the name of lifting people out of poverty. See communism justified in the name of lifting people out of homelessness. See communism justified in the name of helping people to achieve financial stability. See people given welfare entitlement incentives not to perform a day's work. See communism justified as a Maoist type Big Leap forward into the people's liberation. See people without moral qualities of any kind supported by no strings income payments provided by the producers of wealth: "Taxpayer funded 'guaranteed income' programs that hand struggling families up to $36,000 with no strings attached are being rolled out across the country, DailyMail.com analysis reveals. The schemes, whose total value exceeds $125 million, have surged in popularity since the pandemic as progressive leaders embrace cash handouts to support Americans below the poverty line. But the radical projects have been criticized after it emerged one mother of three in Washington DC spent more than half of a $10,800 lump sum payment on a luxury holiday to Miami, along with a new wardrobe for her children and a 'glow up' for herself. Canethia Miller, 27, spent 6,000 dollars on a vacation for herself, her partner and their three children, purchased 15 new outfits for the children and spent $180 on a haircut. She was given the money through the first project in the country to offer money as a lump sum rather than monthly payments. Similar programs in cities from Los Angeles to New York offer payments of up to $1,000 per month for

three years with no conditions on how the cash is spent. The eligibility criteria for many of the program is based on the federal poverty level, a sliding scale based on income. For a single person, the poverty guideline is $15,060 or less, while for a family of four it is $31,200 or less. Advocates and program leaders claim guaranteed income projects are proven to lift people out of poverty by helping them achieve financial stability and goals including moving out of federally subsidized housing. Recipients have said the cash has transformed their lives and even brought some out of homelessness. But critics say the schemes 'destroy fundamental elements of the social contract and create the wrong incentives for people'. They claim cases like Miller's prove that offering money with no conditions on how it is spent is not a solution to inequality. Ongoing projects will deliver more than $125 million to nearly 10,000 Americans nationwide across more than 30 programs that last up to three years, according to data published on the Guaranteed Income Pilots Dashboard. Separate data from Stanford University's Basic Income Lab revealed at least 153 projects have taken place in America, including 68 which are 'active'. But the data does not include schemes including the one in DC which cost around $1.5 million. Most of the guaranteed income schemes are funded with taxpayers' money. The largest, and costliest, guaranteed income scheme is the Big Leap program in Los Angeles which provides 3,200 participants with $1,000 per month for one year. The $36 million project was announced in October 2021 and is open to adult residents of the city who have at least one child, or are pregnant, and have an income below the federal poverty level. Like most other projects across the country, Big Leap is billed as a 'pilot' which aims to study the impact of guaranteed, no strings income and qualifying applicants are selected at random. Los Angeles is also the location of the second largest scheme, which pays $1,000 per month to 1,000 eligible residents of LA County for three years. That is funded by around $36 million of taxpayers' money. The third largest, in New York City, provides 600 participants with between $500 and $1,000 per month for three years." (*America's $125 Million 'Guaranteed Income' Giveaway* by Lewis Pennock). **Warfare.** Look at America in the twenty first century and see the running dogs of imperialism attack Iran. The nation is a theocratic, totalitarian regime which terrorizes its own people as it sponsors terror throughout the world. It is a leading player in the Islamic axis threatening the peace and security of the free world. But the war between Iran and Israel is not America's war. It is not America's fight. America in its founding definition is a restrained, nonimperialist, noninterventionist state. On June 22, 2025, Donald Trump launched bombing raids on Iran's nuclear weapons sites. Codenamed Operation Midnight Hammer, these were midnight acts of imperialism attacking three nuclear weapons sites, the Fordow site, the Natanz site and the Istafan site, destroying these sites with fourteen Massive Ordnance Penetrators, each weighing 14,000 kilograms, together with Tomahawk missiles fired from a submarine. The operation demanded the unconditional surrender of an enemy which had never attacked America. The operation was a spectacular success of American imperialism. It exemplified the speed, precision and skill of American imperialism. It was a bold, bravado display of American imperialism. It was an operation of war and aggression meant to impress and intimidate other nations. It was an operation which will draw America into a foreign war it has no objective reason to fight. It was an operation which made a mockery of Donald Trump's professed policy of nonintervention, nonimperialism, and rejection of the century old, alien, unAmerican, self sacrificial, brother's keeper morality of global involvement in conflicts in which America is not a party: "Donald Trump, the president who returned to the White House in January promising to be a peacemaker, has taken a dramatic step to insert the US into the fraught conflict between Iran and Israel. Far from bringing peace to the Middle East since taking office, Trump is now presiding over a region on the precipice of even greater warfare--a fight in which America is an active participant. In a televised address to the nation from the White House just over two hours after announcing on social media that American forces had struck three nuclear sites in Iran, the American president said the operation had been a 'spectacular success.' He expressed hope that his move would

open the door to a more lasting peace where Iran no longer had the potential to become a nuclear power. Iran has said that there was only minor damage to its heavily fortified Fordo nuclear site. Time will tell which side is correct. Flanked by Vice-President JD Vance, Secretary of State Marco Rubio and Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth, Trump warned Iran that if they did not abandon their nuclear program, they would face future attacks that were 'far worse and a lot easier.' There were 'many targets left.' Trump said, and the US would go after them with 'speed, precision and skill.' Despite the president's bravado, a continued American military engagement in Iran may be a worst case scenario for the US, the region and the world. UN Secretary General Antonio Guterres warned of a 'spiral of chaos' that could result from the American decision to escalate the conflict, noting that the Middle East was already 'on edge." (*Trump Takes Huge Gamble Putting US At Heart Of Iran-Israel Conflict* by Anthony Zurcher). The morality of self sacrifice which says that an individual is his brother's keeper has dug the grave of America in the twenty first century. Among the inscriptions on the nation's tombstone are the terrifying words *Social Security*, *Medicare*, *Medicaid*, the *Affordable Care Act*, the *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program*, and *Wars in Vietnam, Afghanistan, Iraq, Syria and Somalia*. The latest entries in the never ending list of infamy are *Guaranteed Basic Income* and the *Bombing of Iran*.

## INTERNATIONAL CRIME ON CAMPUS

Sewage is foul water produced by human activities. Failure to treat this sewage results in severe environmental damage. In civilized societies, the sewage journey commences when foul water leaves a building, goes underground and reaches a network of pipes. It travels through this network until it reaches a sewage treatment plant, where sewage poison and bacteria are subjected to chemical treatment. Following treatment, the water is safely released into rivers and streams. In the twenty first century, university campuses have become dark flowing rivers of moral sewage released by a global movement. This movement collects sewage as it has never been collected before, collects it by the supermegatons, and floods civilization with it. It collects the sewage teeming with enviers, haters, tribalists and psychopaths, calls them victims of capitalist oppression and exploitation, calls them victims of the Enlightenment lash of reason, science and constitutional government, calls them victims of modern liberal democratic order and the rule of law, forges them into an army marked *gender, race and class politics*, and unleashes them to kill and destroy the voices of civilization. This movement is known as Marxism and it is the greatest, most popular, most powerful and most successful gutter religion in history. It is present everywhere, in every walk of life, in every human activity, in every sector of society, in every family, home, school, office and business, and has filled the cultural atmosphere of the twenty first century with a thick, black, particulate stench, fouling liberal traditions and institutions beyond recognition. In the twenty first century, the Marxist monster of gender, race and class politics has overrun university campuses with colloquiums, conferences, seminars, lectures, institutes, rallies, demonstrations and occupations demanding the overthrow of liberal civilization. In the twenty first century, Marxism has composed a manifesto of higher education which is a new charter rejecting the transmission of knowledge and commanding world revolution. Listen to it and hear the death knell toll for the cause of truth, education and liberal modernity. **Universities Today.** The new manifesto proclaims that a progressive university has an open door to Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university demands that Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students be given student visas for life. A progressive university seeks to subvert national security by the actions of its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university gives foreign enemies of freedom complete access to its facilities. A progressive university is a People's Republic of Marxism, Islam and China. A progressive university gives its technological products and information, and the fruits of its research and development, to foreign students seeking to destroy free institutions. A

progressive university gives a green light to acts of impropriety, misconduct and criminality committed by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university is a scene of crime, conduct violations and discipline problems committed by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university is a center of illegal activities committed by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university is a launch site for skyrocketing violence committed by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university gives a green light to its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students to deprive students, professors, administrators and officials of their rights and freedoms. A progressive university gives a green light to its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students to disobey state and federal criminal laws and send crime rates skyrocketing into infinity. A progressive university refuses to fulfil its legal obligations and report criminal behavior committed by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students to the federal government. A progressive university sponsors student exchange programs in which domestic students travel to study in Marxist, Muslim and Chinese lands, while Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students study in Western universities on a mission to serve as fifth columns of global forces of antiWestern antiEnlightenment. A progressive university emboldens its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students to disregard the norms of civilized behavior and lead a Marxist, Muslim and Chinese jihad against Western homeland security: "Admission into the United States to attend, conduct research, or teach at our Nation's institutions of higher education is a privilege granted by our Government, not a guarantee. That privilege is necessarily tied to the host institution's compliance and commitment to following Federal law. Harvard University has failed in this respect, among many others. The Student Exchange Visa Program (SEVP) depends fundamentally on academic institutions' good faith, transparency, and full adherence to the relevant regulatory frameworks. This is for crucial national security reasons. The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) has long warned that foreign adversaries and competitors take advantage of easy access to American higher education to, among other things, steal technical information and products, exploit expensive research and development to advance their own ambitions, and spread false information for political or other reasons. Our adversaries, including the People's Republic of China, try to take advantage of American higher education by exploiting the student visa program for improper purposes and by using visiting students to collect information at elite universities in the United States. Protecting our national security requires host institutions of foreign students to provide sufficient information, when asked, to enable the Federal Government to identify and address misconduct by those foreign students. In my judgment, it presents an unacceptable risk to our Nation's security for an academic institution to refuse to provide sufficient information, when asked, about known instances of misconduct and criminality committed by its foreign students. This principle is one reason why SEVP regulations require foreign students to obey Federal and State criminal laws and require universities to keep records about foreign students' studies in the United States—including records relating to criminal activity by foreign students and resulting disciplinary proceedings—and furnish them to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) on request. Crime rates at Harvard University—including violent crime rates—have drastically risen in recent years. Harvard has failed to discipline at least some categories of conduct violations on campus. Given these facts, it is imperative, in my judgment, that the Federal Government be able to assess and, if necessary, address misconduct and crimes committed by foreign students at Harvard. Despite the risks described above, Harvard University has refused the recent requests of the DHS for information about foreign students' 'known illegal activity,' 'known dangerous and violent activity,' 'known threats to other students or university personnel,' 'known deprivation of rights of other classmates or university personnel,' and whether those activities 'occurred on campus,' and other related data. Harvard provided data on misconduct by only three students, and the data it provided was so deficient that the DHS could not evaluate whether it should take further actions. Harvard's actions show that it either is not fully

reporting its disciplinary records for foreign students or is not seriously policing its foreign students. In my judgment, these actions and failures directly undermine the Federal Government's ability to ensure that foreign nationals admitted on student or exchange visitor visas remain in compliance with Federal law. These concerns have compelled the Federal Government to conclude that Harvard University is no longer a trustworthy steward of international student and exchange visitor programs. When a university refuses to uphold its legal obligations, including its recordkeeping and reporting obligations, the consequences ripple far beyond the campus. They jeopardize the integrity of the entire United States student and exchange visitor visa system, compromise national security, and embolden other institutions to similarly disregard the rule of law." (*Enhancing National Security By Addressing Risks At Harvard University*, Donald Trump, June 4, 2025). **Universities Today.** The new manifesto of higher education proclaims that a progressive university stages mass rallies demanding the destruction of Israel because it is Western liberal capitalist democracy. A progressive university is a cathedral of terror led by its Marxist, Muslim and Chinese foreign students. A progressive university is a center for antiIsrael, antiAmerican and antiWestern campaigners from foreign lands. A progressive university is a center for radical protests by foreign students. A progressive university is a center of Jew hatred because Israel seeks to shoot down the missiles of Islamic totalitarianism. A progressive university promotes Islamic violence in the name of human rights. A progressive university exalts Islamic hoodlums as the highest type of freedom fighters. A progressive university is a Palestinian university dedicated to the destruction of freedom in the Middle East. A progressive university is a sanctuary for criminal fathers, husbands and refugees from Islamic lands. A progressive university promotes Islamic tyranny and the extermination of Jews under the slogan *Free, free Palestine!*: "AntiIsrael activist Mahmoud Khalil attended a rally in New York City on Sunday just days after he was released from a US Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) detention facility in Louisiana. The rally happened outside the Cathedral of St John the Divine which is near Columbia University, NBC News reported on Monday. Khalil, who is a graduate of Columbia, was arrested in March after leading radical protests on the campus, per *Breitbart News*. The article noted President Donald Trump had previously promised to deport foreign students fomenting antisemitic and antiIsrael protests on campuses. While addressing the crowd, Khalil said, 'Mahmoud Khalil is a human rights defender. Mahmoud Khalil is a freedom fighter. Mahmoud Khalil is a refugee. Mahmoud Khalil is a father and husband. And, above all, Mahmoud Khalil is Palestinian.' Video footage shows him leading the chant, *Free, free Palestine!* as the crowd joined him" (*AntiIsrael Activist Mahmoud Khalil Leads Free Palestine! Chant In NYC After Release From ICE Custody* by Amy Furr). *Hundreds of thousands of war prisoners from armies which the imperialists had created, upon returning to Hungary, Germany and Austria, thoroughly infected those countries with the germs of bolshevism. And the fact that groups sympathizing with us predominate in those countries is due to work which is not visible on the surface and only briefly summed up in the report on the organizational activities of the foreign groups in Russia. It constituted one of the most significant features in the activities of the Russian Communist Party as one of the units of the world communist party*. (*Report Of The Central Committee* by Vladimir Lenin, Eighth Congress of the Russian Communist Party, March 18 23, 1919). In the twenty first century, the life forms spreading moral disease are still in business and are greater than ever before. The bacterial organisms of Lenin's bolshevism have multiplied to form a gender, race and class ocean which has fouled today's universities.

## TWO PRESIDENTS, TWO SYMBOLS OF DEATH

A symptom is an indication of a disease. It can be externally visible or be a subjective experience. Symptoms are as multifarious as the diseases which beset man and include rashes, high temperatures, and feelings of pain and dizziness. A competent doctor understands that the elimination of disease is

only possible by the elimination of its cause and not by providing merely symptomatic relief. Over the past half century, two outstanding symptoms of civilizational decline have appeared in America and have indicated the operation of a fearsome cause, a cause which has operated in stages of decline throughout history. Appearing in ancient Rome over 1,500 years ago and appearing throughout the West in the present day, this cause is the rejection of reason and the embrace of religion. In America, in the leader of the free world and the lighthouse of hope and direction amidst raging storms of tyranny, two presidents have been elected who have symptomized like no other the nation's decisive rejection of the world in which it was born. America is a child of the Enlightenment and was defined with its ideas: the ideas of sanity, science, empiricism and common sense. In the twentieth century, these ideas fell under sustained attack in a crescendo which had been building for centuries throughout the West. In the twentieth century, America and the West entered a second age of religiousness and brought back the Dark Ages in old and new forms. These forms were resurgent movements of old time religions, together with the modern religion of Marxism. In America in the twentieth century, a struggle for power developed between two ascendant movements, one movement promoting a religion of mysticism in heaven, and the other movement promoting a religion of mysticism on earth, and these two mob fueled movements, called the Christian Right and the Marxist Left, would elect two presidents. In America in the twentieth century, a fraudulent coin was minted buying religion in one form or another. One side promoted a mysticism of God outside all places and dimensions, while the other side promoted a mysticism of Society outside all places and dimensions, and in 1981, the mystics of God elected a president, and in 2009, the mystics of Society elected a president. These two presidents served as markers of two religious movements which between them have dug the grave of the world's preeminent nation founded in the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. Look at the presidencies of Ronald Reagan and Barack Obama and see symptoms of a disease reach the highest political office in the nation and drag the nation down into moral and spiritual extinction. **Ronald Reagan.** Fortieth president of the United States, from 1981 to 1989, Ronald Reagan gave his Republican Party a new base of support: the Christian Right. The party had long since rejected its founding libertarian platform because libertarianism had long since ceased to enjoy widespread voter support and the base disappeared. After the landslide defeat of the libertarian Republican candidate Barry Goldwater in 1964, the libertarian wing of the Republican Party was evicted. In 1968, Richard Nixon gave the party a new base under the term *Southern Strategy*, a strategy to increase support among white voters in the South by appealing to racism against blacks. Ronald Reagan gave the party a second base in the Christian Right. Like Nixon and his racist Southern Strategy, Reagan and his Christian Right took the Republican Party away from the Republican Party. He sought to effect an unconstitutional union between church and state and sought to transform the party into a religious organization. In Reagan's day, the Christian Right was also known as the Moral Majority, and Reagan led a movement of rising Christian masses and television evangelists seeking under its banners to plunge the nation into a fever swamp marked *God, family and tradition*. Reagan led voting blocks and lobby groups of the Christian Right in a crusade to take over the state and use its power to impose Christian theology by means of force. Under Reagan, the Christian Right achieved power and influence in American society, and fought to establish Christian prayers in public schools, promote the Ten Commandments in public schools, outlaw the teaching of evolution in public schools, outlaw embryonic stem cell research on the grounds that human embryos contain a mystical soul and are not merely potential human persons but are actual human persons, and sought to outlaw abortion on the grounds that a one cell zygote appearing at human conception is an actual human person and not merely a potential human person by virtue of the appearance of a mystical soul, and that abortion is thus murder. In 2022, the Christian Right achieved the pinnacle of its success in the United States Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization*, which reversed the 1973

decision *Roe v Wade*, and deprived women of the right to an abortion. Listen to the majority channel the Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith and proclaim that there is no right to an abortion because the word *abortion* does not appear in the Constitution. Listen to the Court proclaim that there is no right to an abortion because the right to liberty does not mean the right to liberty. Contrary to the Court's reasoning, the right to liberty exists in the Constitution because the word *liberty* mentioned in the Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments necessarily refers to something, refers to the existence of liberty, or it refers to nothing whatever and is a meaningless word. Listen to the Court proclaim that the states have a right to violate the separation between church and state mandated by the First Amendment, and to outlaw abortion as an act of murder, on the grounds that a one cell zygote appearing at human conception is an actual human person and not merely a potential human person by virtue of the appearance of a mystical soul: "We hold that *Roe* and *Casey* must be overruled. The Constitution makes no reference to abortion, and no such right is implicitly protected by any constitutional provision, including the one on which the defenders of *Roe* and *Casey* now chiefly rely—the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment." (Decision of the majority by Samuel Alito). It was called Reaganonics and it involved reducing the growth of government social spending, reducing the federal income, reducing federal capital gains tax, reducing government regulations and establishing free trade in order to increase the supply of goods to consumers, and tightening the money supply in order to reduce inflation. This socalled Reagan Revolution ended with the presidency of Ronald Reagan, reversed by a growing public clamor for more welfare and more regulation. Reagan's actual legacy is the growing power of the Christian Right, reaching from Main Street USA to the United States Supreme Court. **Barack Obama.** Forty fourth president of the United States, from 2009 to 2017, Barack Obama brought the Marxist religion of Society into new heights of power and influence. He advanced the movement of statism which had commenced at the dawn of the twentieth century and consolidated the American welfare state. Listen to Obama condemn individual rights in the name of the pursuit of common purpose. Listen to him condemn individual rights as outdated and regressive. Listen to him condemn individual rights in the name of expansive government charged with the tasks of providing good jobs, good wages, health care and retirement security to the people: "Now, there are some who question the scale of our ambitions, who suggest that our system cannot tolerate too many big plans. Their memories are short, for they have forgotten what this country has already done, what free men and women can achieve when imagination is joined to common purpose, and necessity to courage. What the cynics fail to understand is that the ground has shifted beneath them, that the stale political arguments that have consumed us for so long no longer apply. The question we ask today is not whether our government is too big or too small, but whether it works--whether it helps families find jobs at a decent wage, care they can afford, a retirement that is dignified. Where the answer is yes, we intend to move forward." (*President Barack Obama's Inaugural Address*, January 21, 2009). Listen to Barack Obama condemn individual rights in the name of a higher heroism. Listen to him condemn individual rights in the name of the spirit of service. Listen to him condemn individual rights in the name of something bigger, better and greater than the individual self. Listen to him condemn individual rights in the name of the duty to be selfless, self sacrificial and to enslave oneself to every passing stranger: "As we consider the role that unfolds before us, we remember with humble gratitude those brave Americans who at this very hour patrol far off deserts and distant mountains. They have something to tell us, just as the fallen heroes who lie in Arlington whisper through the ages. We honor them not only because they are the guardians of our liberty, but because they embody the spirit of service--a willingness to find meaning in something greater than themselves. And yet at this moment, a moment that will define a generation, it is precisely this spirit that must inhabit us all. For as much as government can do, and must do, it is ultimately the faith and determination of the American people upon which this nation relies. It is the kindness to take in a stranger when the levees break, the

selflessness of workers who would rather cut their hours than see a friend lose their job which sees us through our darkest hours." (*President Barack Obama's Inaugural Address*, January 21, 2009). Since the time of Ronald Reagan, the Republican Party has acquired yet another base of support, in addition to the Southern Strategy voters and the Christian Right. Given to the party by Donald Trump, it is the base of economic nationalism and antifree trade. Like Richard Nixon and Ronald Reagan, Trump has confronted the reality that the American people have rejected the principle of liberty upon which the Republican Party was founded, even as he at the same time has attempted to roll back the cancerous extragrowths of the Marxist Left, such as open orders, affirmative action and transgender rights. In the twenty first century, two wild dogs are fighting over an animal they killed. One wild dog is the Christian Right, symbolized by Ronald Reagan and his greatest legacy, the twenty first century Supreme Court decision *Dobbs v Jackson Women's Health Organization*. The other wild dog is symbolized by Barack Obama and his greatest legacy, the Affordable Care Act of 2010, building upon Medicare and Medicaid and establishing health care as a universal human right. The dead animal is the American liberal Enlightenment constitutional order. The wild dogs of the Christian Right, the mystics of God, and the wild dogs of the Marxist Left, the mystics of Society, are mauling apart a dead nation.

## CITY ON FIRE

A burning glass is a convex lens which concentrates the sun's rays onto a small area, heats up the area, and creates ignition. Burning glasses were widely used in the days before the advent of electrical ignition, and in antiquity they were often used to cauterize wounds. The Greek playwright Aristophanes describes a burning glass in his play *The Clouds*: "Strepsiades: Have you ever seen a beautiful, transparent stone at the pharmacy, with which you may kindle fire?" A member of the New York State Assembly for the Thirty Sixth District since 2021, a member of the Democratic Socialists of America, an advocate of Marxism, Islam, antisemitism and the destruction of Israel, Zohran Kwame Mamdani is an ideological burning glass, concentrating every hate movement of our time in his person and spreading fire across the city and state of New York. The focal point of the multifarious rays of antiEnlightenment flowing down the moral sewers of late Western civilization, Mamdani became the Democrat Party nominee for mayor of New York City in the primary election on June 24, 2025, and is preparing his forces for the general election on November 4, 2025. A look at Mamdani is a look at everything ailing the West today, presented between the covers of a single life and career, and is a look into a terrifying future spelling *imminent civilizational collapse*. **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate for communism in the name of democracy. Candidate for socialism and extremism. Candidate for communist promises and communist taxes. Candidate for defunding and dismantling the police. Candidate for Soviet style, government owned grocery stores excused from paying rent and property taxes. Candidate for communism in the name of combating the capitalist system of price gouging. Candidate for communism in the name of combating corporate supermarkets. Candidate for communism in the name of combating corporate interests. Candidate for communism in the name of combating the profit motive. Candidate for Jew hate, Muslim terror and Palestinian dictatorship. Candidate for communism in the name of combating the status quo. Candidate for communism in the name of providing new leadership for the people. Candidate for communism in the name of the oppresssed and exploited working class: "Representative Laura Gillen (D-NY) warned that Democratic Socialist Zohran Mamdani is 'too extreme to lead' New York City, after he led in the city’s Democrat mayoral primary. Gillen issued a statement criticizing Mamdani’s campaign as having 'been built on unachievable promises and higher taxes.' 'Socialist Zohran Mamdani is too extreme to lead New York City,' Gillen said. 'His entire campaign has been built on unachievable promises and higher taxes, which is the last thing New York needs. Beyond that, Mr Mamdani has called to defund the police and has demonstrated a deeply disturbing pattern of unacceptable antisemitic comments which stoke hate at

a time when antisemitism is skyrocketing. He is the absolute wrong choice for New York.' Mamdani has suggested creating city run grocery stores, pointing out that people in the city are 'struggling with the cost of groceries.' 'We will redirect city funds from corporate supermarkets to city owned grocery stores whose mission is to lower prices, not price gouging,' Mamdani said in a video. 'These stores will operate without a profit motive, or having to pay property taxes or rent, and will pass on those savings to you.' Senator Bernie Sanders (I-VT) endorsed Mamdani, stating that 'new leadership' who would 'stand up to powerful corporate interests' is needed. 'At this dangerous moment in history, status quo politics isn't good enough,' Sanders said. 'We need new leadership that is prepared to stand up to powerful corporate interests & fight for the working class. Zohran Mamdani is providing that vision. He is the best choice for NYC mayor.' " (*Democrat Representative Warns Socialist Zohran Mamdani Is 'Too Extreme to Lead' NYC* by Elizabeth Weibel). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate for communism in the name of democratic socialism. Candidate for communist grocery stores in the name of keeping prices low. Candidate for communist grocery warehousing, distribution and partnering with local neighborhoods. Candidate for communism in the name of giving the people a grocery store public option: "A Democratic socialist running for mayor of New York City wants to create city run grocery stores, but his idea is not going over well with locals, according to a *City Journal* report published Tuesday. Mayoral candidate Zohran Mamdani outlined his platform on his website saying people in his city are struggling with the cost of groceries. The site then declared Mamdani 'will create a network of city owned grocery stores focused on keeping prices low, not making a profit. Without having to pay rent or property taxes, they will reduce overhead and pass on savings to shoppers. They will buy and sell at wholesale prices, centralize warehousing and distribution, and partner with local neighborhoods on products and sourcing. With New York City already spending millions of dollars to subsidize private grocery store operators *(which are not even required to take SNAP/WIC!)*, we should redirect public money to a real public option.' " (*Locals Fear Socialist NYC Mayoral Candidate Zohran Mamdani's City Run Grocers Idea Spells Corruption* by Amy Furr). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate for communism in the name of combating the capitalist regime of corrupt, bigoted billionnaires. Candidate for communism in the name of giving power to the people. Candidate for communism in the name of worshiping Allah's glory and omnipotence: "Representative Ilhan Omar (D-MN) praised Democrat Socialist Zohran Mamdani's victory in New York City's Democratic Mayoral primary race, celebrating it as an 'incredible victory.' In a post on X, Omar thanked the people of New York City for 'not letting the bigots and corrupt billionaires prevail.' Omar's praise of Mamdani comes after former New York Governor Andrew Cuomo (D) conceded the race after Mamdani took a lead over the other contenders. 'Congratulations @ZohranKMamdani!' Omar wrote in her post. 'This is an incredible victory and testament to the people powered campaign you put together. Thank you NYC for not letting the bigots and corrupt billionaires prevail. This victory also belongs to you. As you'll get read for the general election, know that we will all be cheering you. *Alhamdulillah!' Alhamdulillah* translates to 'All praise is due to Allah.' " (*Ilhan Omar Praises Zohran Mamdani's Victory in NYC Primary: 'Alhamdulillah!'* by Elizabeth Weibel). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate for communism in the name of queer liberation and transgender rights. Candidate for the communist abolition of police and prisons. Candidate for burning down the city with the flames of one hundred percent Marxism. Candidate for the communist spoliation and destruction of New York City. Candidate for unleashing tidal waves of violent crime and riots in the streets in the name of communism. Candidate for the communist rejection of moral health, regularity and soundness. Candidate for socialism, state welfare and totalitarian control. Candidate to force the producers of wealth to flee the tax authorities in the city in the name of the protecting the rights of the poor: "The self identified socialist likely to become the next mayor of New York City, Zohran Kwame Mamdani, believes that, 'Queer liberation means defund the police.' He said so, in writing, no less than four years ago during the George Floyd Riots. You've got to love the idea of New

York City getting what it voted for in Mamdani. As I wrote on Monday: 'One of the most interesting political reality shows going today is watching Democrats in these Democrat run cities continue to vote for failure, ruin and blight. Their unwillingness to change, even as it all burns down around them, is like nothing we have ever seen before.' So, New York's dummies will end up with a bona fide Marxist as mayor, which means more blight, more violent crime, an even bigger gap between the poor and rich, and more sane people fleeing the city. And by sane, I mean Normal People who work and pay taxes—which means they will take their tax base with them." (*NYC's Zohran Mamdani Believes 'Queer Liberation Means Defund The Police'* by John Nolte). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate for communist grocery stores, communist free buses, communist free child care, communist rent control and the communist decimation of the police. Candidate for the Marxist-Islamist destruction of Jews and Israel. Candidate for the continuance of Hitler's Holocaust and the destruction of the Western liberal civilization: "Zohran Mamdani, the Democratic socialist running for mayor of New York City, supported defunding the police (as Gotham City crime spiked and the NYPD's roster dwindled); he wants to institute government run grocery stores, provide free buses, free child care, and freeze rent hikes. He's refused to recognized Israel as a Jewish state and declined to condemn the Holocaust. So, of course he's got the backing of Hollywood celebrities." (*Hollywood Celebrities Back Democratic Socialist Zohran Mamdani For New York City Mayor* by Jerome Hudson). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate in support of extermination policies directed against Jews established by fascists, communists and Muslims. Candidate in support of Hamas terror attacks. Candidate condemning the existence of Israel. Candidate running on a platform of global jihad and Jew hatred. Candidate in support of the Palestinian cyclone sweeping across free lands and violating everything in its path: "New York State Assemblyman Zohran Mamdani (D) declined to sign two different measures, one recognizing Israel and another condemning the Holocaust, according to several reports. *Politico* reported that Mamdani, who is running for mayor of New York City, had 'declined to sign onto a state Assembly resolution this week recognizing Israel on the 77th anniversary of its founding.' Mamdani's refusal reportedly comes four months after he declined to sign a resolution that condemned the Holocaust. Per the outlet, Mamdani was 'one of only five members in the 150 person Assembly who did not sign onto at least one of the two resolutions this year': 'The annual resolutions honoring Israel and condemning the Holocaust have historically been among the most uncontentious events in Albany. Mamdani was one of only five members in the 150 person Assembly who did not sign onto at least one of the two resolutions this year.' New York State Assemblyman Sam Berger (D) criticized Mamdani, noting that he had 'condemned Israel the day after' Hamas terrorists attacked Israel on October 7, 2023, and had accepted an endorsement from former Representative Jamaal Bowman (D-NY). 'He condemned Israel the day after October 7th, regularly gives interviews on unapologetic antisemitic platforms, and just proudly accepted an endorsement from a disgrace former fire alarm pulling Congressman who denied October 7th rapes,' Berger said." (*New York Lawmaker Opts Out Of Signing Measures Recognizing Israel, Condemning Holocaust* by Elizabeth Weibel). **Zohran Mamdani.** Candidate assisting illegal aliens convicted of violent crimes to evade federal immigration enforcement. Candidate in support of a city sanctuary for illegal aliens. Candidate seeking to ban the New York City Police Department from cooperating with Immigration and Customs Enforcement in defense of the rule of law and the right of civilized people to be protected from migrant invasions from the depths of subhumanity: "New York City Democrat mayoral candidate Zohran Mamdani, a self described socialist, is vowing to help violent illegal alien convicts evade federal immigration enforcement if elected in November. On Tuesday evening, Mamdani delivered a major upset in the city's Democrat mayoral primary, beating out the likes of former Govermor Andrew Cuomo (D) and current Mayor Eric Adams. Mamdani, an immigrant born in Uganda, has said he would like to expand on the city's sanctuary policies that continue to shield illegal aliens from federal immigration

enforcement by banning the New York City Police Department (NYPD) from cooperating with the Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)." (*NYC Democrat Mayoral Candidate Zohran Mamdani Vows To Help Violent Illegal Alien Convicts Evade ICE* by John Binder). In the twenty first century, a blazing hot sun shines on New York City. It is the sun of Marxism, Islam, cultural subversion and moral insanity. In the person of Zohhan Mamdani the rays are focused and converge to a deadly point. A fire has started and is raging out of control.

## THE EMINENT DOMAIN NIGHTMARE

*The property of subjects is under the eminent domain of the state, so that the state may use and even alienate and destroy such property, not only in the case of extreme necessity, but for ends of public utility, to which ends those who founded civil society must be supposed to have intended that private ends should give way. But when this is done, the state is bound to make good the loss to those who lose their property.* (*On The Law Of War And Peace* by Hugo Grotius). Eminent domain. The compulsory acquisition of private property by the government for public use. The power of the state to deprive people of their property and sacrifice individual rights for the sake of the common good. This terrifying feature of modern government, this power which legalizes government theft of private property, has been taken to new heights of injustice in the twenty first century. As statism intensifies in nation after nation across the West, eminent domain is being used to implement a Marxist ideological agenda and declare total war on the legacies of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, this power of the government to expropriate individuals has become an instrument in the service of gender, race and class politics, an instrument seeking to transform free societies into fever swamps, an instrument which has left no individual secure in the enjoyment of his land. In the twenty first century, the government has become a handmaiden of religion, the handmaiden of the number one religion of our time, the handmaiden of the Marxist religion of an everexpanding tribalism aligned against liberal democracy, and has become morally bankrupt as it has donned the mantle of a tyrant. No longer exclusively exercised to provide infrastructure in the form of the roads, bridges, buildings and power supplies necessary for the operation of society, eminent domain is now in addition exercised to advance ideological goals, and its new function in the twenty first century has made a mockery of the rule of law. **Eminent Domain.** Eminent domain has become a weapon to evict people from their land, and force them to sell at below market prices, in order to provide housing for migrant proletarian scum. A weapon to provide housing to exploding populations of enemy aliens. A weapon to provide housing to Marxist Labor Party constituents. A weapon to meet the housing needs of the lowest strata of society. It is a weapon to seize green belts and develop them to provide housing for the idlers, the indolent, the shiftless and the shirkers of the nation. A weapon to seize private property and compel landowners to sell at unjust prices in areas in which there is the greatest housing need coming from swarming parasites masquerading as human beings. A weapon of leftwing governments to house a cancerous expansion of untold millions of migrants invading from the mad deserts and malarial jungles of the world. A weapon to populate vast green spaces with the foreign and domestic destroyers of civilization, multiplying like bacteria at home and abroad: "Property owners who don’t want to be turfed off their land by the government face being effectively punished by a compulsory purchase order (eminent domain) paying less than the open market rate for massive development projects wanted by the state to house the soaring, immigration fuelled population. Britain’s new Labor government is set to give local council the power to buy ‘Green Belt’ land, 65 per cent of which is farms, at a special new below theoretical market price if the owner refuses to sell up. The change in rules comes as the state moves to rapidly embark on house building to deal with the mass migration driven population explosion, which has resulted in a supply crunch and vastly inflated home prices. To overcome the government policy created shortage, the state is now eyeing Britain’s Green Belt land, originally protected from

development since around the time of the Second World War to guarantee easy access to green spaces for urban dwellers and to stop urban sprawl destroying the countryside in large swathes of the nation altogether. Attacking the Green Belt remains deeply controversial, and many farmers and landowners may not wish to be ejected from their own land without a choice, but the state at least has a way to make it cheaper for itself. Land prices vary considerably depending on their potential future use. Remote agricultural land will be priced as just that, while land presently in use for farming but next to a growing city will command a premium, as the buyer would stand to make considerable profit on the sale of future homes. As things stand now, while Green Belt land is by definition close to the most profitable cities, it is generally priced as agricultural land as planning rules classify it as unsuitable for building. But if that were to change, prices would soar to reflect demand, which is presumably precisely what the government wishes to prevent. Per an approving report in *The Times*, councils are to be awarded the power to buy newly available for development land at the agricultural value, even though, in the words of the 1961 government law permitting compulsory purchase, it would not be 'the amount which the land if sold in the open market by a willing seller might be expected to realize.' *The Times* admits: 'Those that refuse to sell in parts of the country where there is the greatest housing need could find their land bought off them at a benchmark value that would be lower than the market value of similar sites outside of the green belt.' Publications instinctively in favor of the seizing of private property have characterized this change in the rules as a reasonable move by the government to save itself from 'overpaying' for land. Another reports that it is a bid to 'prevent landowners from cashing in on sites that would previously have been ineligible for development,' as if any of this was remotely their fault, rather than facets of market inefficiency created by layers upon layers of government rules. The Campaign for Rural England (CPRE) advocates for the Green Belt, and states any development in this long defined area should take place in what's known as 'Brown Field' locations first. These may be large, now disused facilities that predated the Green Belt designations in the 1930s and 40s such as airfields, hospitals, and factories. The CPRE responded to the recent news the new left wing government was changing the planning regulations with caution, stating: 'Our Green Belts are the countryside next door for millions of people, and by protecting and enhancing these green spaces around our towns and cities we can address multiple environmental crises at once, leaving space for farming, climate mitigation, wildlife, and access to nature. Brownfield first is the gold standard in sustainable development. It protects green spaces, ensures houses are built close to schools, shops and transport, and can breathe new life into these empty spaces.' " (*Land Grab: Leftist UK Govt Hopes To Take Private Land Without Paying Full Market Price* by Oliver JJ Lane). **Eminent Domain.** Eminent domain in the twenty first century has become a weapon to enable the government to seize farm land to provide affordable housing to the moral underworld. A weapon to take private property for the collectivist public good. A weapon to force family farm owners to sell their ancient lands to make room for subhuman households and socialist revolution. A weapon to obliterate centuries old homes, farms, legacies and generations to house the cattle, sheep and wild animals overrunning society: "Calling it a 'Biden style government takeover,' Secretary of Agriculture Brooke Rollins is promising to help a New Jersey family trying to save their 175 year old farm from being seized by a local government to build 'affordable housing' units. The secretary also said the days of other family farm seizures across the country would soon be coming to an end. Earlier this year, according to family member Andy Henry, the Cranbury, New Jersey, township government sent him a letter stating he could either accept an offer to buy the farm or it would be taken by eminent domain. 'My family sacrificed on this land for 175 years,' Henry told the *Farm Journal's AgWeb*. 'All the other farms disappeared. We did not. We will not.' The US Constitution empowers eminent domain to take property for the public good, but its application has been improperly expanded, say critics, to include seizures that benefit government through increased taxes. As farms have given way to warehouses and light industrial development in

the area, Henry says he has declined multimillion dollar offers for the 21 acres. He remains a lone holdout in the area as a working farm, located about 15 northeast of Trenton. He told *AgWeb* that he inherited the farm in 2012 along with his brother, Christopher. They have invested $200,000 in upkeep, he said, adding that they have turned down offers as high as '$20-30 million' from private developers. Henry told the farm publication: 'Didn't matter how much money we were offered. We saved the farm no matter what. We turned down all the offers to preserve the legacy for our family, city, and even state. Our farm is now leased for raising cattle and sheep. The town loves driving by and seeing something besides warehouses. Keeping this legacy intact and passing it to the next generation has been, and is always, our plan.' A township committee officially approved a plan to take the farm last month. Timothy P. Duggan, an eminent domain attorney representing the family called the move 'misguided and rushed.' A state mandate is requiring the township, with a population of only 4,000, to build 265 affordable housing units over the next decade, *AgWeb* reported." (*Brooke Rollins Vows To Stop NJ Township's Eminent Domain Seizure Of Family Farm To Build Affordable Housing* by Lowell Cauffiel). Eminent domain is a power antithetical to free societies. It is a power which makes the state the owner of all property, and makes socalled title deeds to land in fact titles to use and not titles to ownership. In the twenty first century, this violation of individual rights, this sacrifice of personal freedom to the public good, has widened its application. No longer confined to the provision of civilizational infrastructure, it has expanded to the provision of welfare to masses morally uneducated, unwashed and unfit to live in human society. The eminent domain right of the state to steal private land has become a weapon of animal empowerment and cultural subversion.

**MARXIST GUILLOTINE BEHEADS EUROPE**

*Suppose, for the sake of argument, that, struck by some Malthusian panic, a legislature duly representing public opinion were to enact that all children born during the next ten years should be drowned. Does anyone think such an enactment would be warrantable? If not, there is evidently a limit to the power of a majority.* (*The Right To Ignore The State* by Herbert Spencer). The tyranny of the majority. The situation in a democracy in which the demands of the majority sideline the rights of the individual. The situation in which a bill of rights to protect the rights of the indivdual is either nonexistent, inadequate or unenforced, with the result that the individual is placed at the mercy of the majority and becomes a rightless slave of the state. The birthplace of freedom in the West, the continent which gave mankind the seminal constitutional documents of freedom, the *Magna Carta*, the Scottish *Claim of Rights Act*, the English *Bill Of Rights* and the French *Declaration of the Rights of Man And Of The Citizen*, Europe in the twenty first century has disgraced its liberal Enlightenment traditions and institutions and descended into a regime of tyranny sanctioned by the majority which has wiped out freedom of speech. The freedom to publicly express ideas and opinions without fear of retaliation, censorship or sanction by the government, freedom of speech is under attack across Europe today, under attack in a manner not seen since the time of the Soviet Bloc and Hitler's Germany, not seen since the twin totalitarian powers of communism and national socialism menaced the continent, and this attack has rendered unrecognizable the venerable continent whose ages of reason and science set the mind free and liberated dark continents. In the twenty first century, Europe is no longer Europe; the freedom of speech its traditions and revolutions guaranteed to the people has been repealed; and it has become a disgraceful shadow of its former self, constructing barbed wire fences around the human conscience, fences forged by the majority under the control of the number mass gutter religion of our time. In the twenty first century, Europe is under the control of a Marxist monster of gender, race and class politics, and has issued a manifesto of thought control signaling democracy run amoke in an age of civilizational decline. Cancelling Europe's charters and constitutions of freedom which were the envy of the world, this twenty first manifesto mandating the abolition of European liberal order

proclaims that a progressive society sends the police to break into the homes of people who have challenged Marxist ideas and been offensive to Marxists. A progressive society is a fascist Third Reich and a communist German Democratic Republic in its policies toward freedom of speech. A progressive society sends the police to make mass house visits to those posting online comments hateful and insulting to Marxism. A progressive society sends the police to confiscate the tablets, laptops and mobile phones of those challenging Marxist political correctness and to search their homes. A progressive society enacts criminal codes against antiMarxists and sends them to jail for years. A progressive society criminalizes online antiMarxist speech as hate speech. A progressive society criminalizes online antiMarxist speech as fascist, right wing speech. A progressive society encourages people to who read online antiMarxist opinions to report the authors to the police because the readers are victims of hate speech. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist opinions on the grounds that these constitute criminal content. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist opinions on the grounds that they constitute the most violent hate crimes imaginable. A progressive society is run by local, state and federal criminal police forces ensuring that all antiMarxist images, videos and texts are removed from the internet and those who posted them are sent to jail. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist opinions as digital arson, hunts down the arsonists and sends them to jail. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist opinions on the grounds that they constitute misogyny. A progressive society organizes early morning police raids against those who post online content critical of Marxist women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders and the poor. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist opinions on the grounds that they constitute false information. A progressive society prohibits online antiMarxist humor and satire on the grounds that they constitute national socialist hate speech. A progressive society is a Marxist censorship regime cracking down on the voices of liberal dissent. A progressive society is a communist, authoritarian, Labor Party attack dog directed against liberal government. A progressive society is run by Marxist thought police handcuffing, interrogating and jailing all those in favor of Brexit and against the totalitarian state. A progressive society is a Jew hating society seeking the destruction of Israel on the grounds that it is a Western style, liberal democratic society. A progressive society is run by Marxist language police arresting, trying, convicting and jailing those condeming the abolition of national borders and acts of mass murder committed by illegal aliens, and advocating the deportation of the migrant scum of the earth multiplying like bacteria in the moral sewers of the world: "At around 6 am this morning, hundreds of people across Germany awoke to police officers at their door. Their only ‘crime’ is to have openly made critical or offensive comments on the internet, many about specific politicians. This is not a scene from the Third Reich or the German Democratic Republic, but from the Federal Republic in the 21st Century. Officers from the Federal Criminal Police Office (BKA) intend to make approximately 170 house visits today, to investigate ‘hateful’ or insulting comments made online. Suspects have had their tablets, laptops, and phones confiscated, and their homes searched. This operation has been taking place annually for several years now, to enforce paragraph 188 of the German criminal code. This was amended in 2021 to make it a criminal offense to insult a political figure, punishable by a maximum sentence of three years in prison. According to the BKA, there were 10,732 crimes related to online hate speech last year—that’s an increase of roughly 34% since 2023. Compared to 2021, the numbers have quadrupled. Naturally, the vast majority of the posts being targeted by police come from the right wing of the political spectrum. The BKA also encourages citizens to support the police in combating socalled hate crimes. Anyone who encounters hate speech online or becomes a victim of hate speech should report it to the local police. 'Report hate posts to social network providers and request them to delete criminal content,' the Federal Criminal Police Office states. While it shies away from doing anything about its violent crime problem, the German state is not taking offensive memes or crude insults lightly. North Rhine-Westphalia’s interior minister, Christian Democrat Herbert Reul, warned:

'Digital arsonists must not be able to hide behind their phones or computers. Anyone who thinks anything is allowed on social media is seriously mistaken.' Reul also complained that 'people have forgotten the difference between hate and opinion.' This hardline approach is not new. German authorities have been rapidly ramping up their enforcement of speech laws in recent years, likely out of fear of a growing right wing backlash. Last year, the BKA conducted similar early morning raids against those accused of posting misogynist content online. And earlier this year, CBS documented how officers raided the apartment of one man in northwest Germany accused of posting a racist meme. As part of the report, CBS spoke to German state prosecutors who explained that not only is insulting someone publicly against the law, but could also be punished more severely when done online. Apparently, according to the experts, even reposting an insult or false information online is against the law. Even off color humor can be treated as a criminal offense in Germany. Just yesterday, a pensioner was convicted for posting satirical images involving nazi imagery. Stefan Niehoff, a 64 year old former *Bundeswehr* sergeant, was initially hauled before a court last year for calling then economy minister Robert Habeck a 'professional idiot.' This case was fortunately dropped, but he has since been slapped with a €825 fine for sharing images on X that the judge failed to find sufficiently satirical. Under German law, it is illegal to use nazi era imagery unless it is decided to be clearly critical. Germany's censorship regime is so brazen it almost beggars belief. But it is far from an isolated—or even the worst—example of a free speech crackdown in Europe. Similarly, in the UK the situation has become almost comically authoritarian in recent years and has only deteriorated under the rule of Labor prime minister Keir Starmer. Recently, we have seen a spate of attacks on free speech. In May this year, it was reported that, back in 2023, the British thought police had paid a visit to the home of Julian Foulkes, a retired police officer himself. In bodycam footage released last month, officers can be seen searching Foulkes's house, rummaging through his wife's underwear drawer and inspecting newspaper clippings about his daughter's funeral and her death in a tragic road accident. They also leafed through his bookshelf, which contained tomes such as Douglas Murray's *The War On The West.* One policeman can be heard tutting over his 'very Brexity' book collection. Foulkes was also handcuffed by six officers, locked in a cell and interrogated for eight hours." (*Germany's 'Speechcrime' Raids Are A Chilling Sign Of Things To Come* by Lauren Smith). From May 7 to 8, 1948, the Congress of Europe was convened in The Hague. This conference of delegates from across the continent sought to create a constitution of freedom for nations ravaged by war. At the conclusion of the conference, its 750 delegates from all walks of European life issued a shining declaration: "We desire a Charter of Human Rights guaranteeing liberty of thought, assembly and expression as well as right to form a political opposition. We desire a Court of Justice with adequate sanctions for the implementation of this Charter." The conference was a response to the expansion of communism across Central and Eastern Europe, and to the specter of communism haunting the West. It was an antitotalitarian measure designed to protect Western Europe from the forces of subversion operating within. On September 3, 1953, the Congress of Europe's *European Convention on Human Rights* became the supreme law of the land. Seven decades after this shining Enlightenment document became law, it has been rendered a null and void by an ascendant power installed by the majority. This power is the Marxist gravedigger of civilization.

## NETFLIX SAYS NO TO FREEDOM

It is the most subscribed video streaming service in the world. Its 302 million memberships span 200 nations and its transmissions appear in 50 languages, from Arabic to Urdu. Headquartered in Los Gatos, California, its area of service is the world and its website is the 18th most visited. This entertainment, mass media, and film production and distribution behemoth is called Netflix, and because it is famous and popular and streams content to a worldwide audience, its products reflect the spirit of the times. Founded by American entrepreneurs Reed Hastings and Marc Randolph, Netflix is a

global platform of political correctness whose appeal is to the masses worshiping the number one religion of our time. As the West descends into extinction, the religion digging its grave has launched the most influential attack on the Enlightenment in modern times. To waves of thunderous applause reaching across the world, the agitators and propagandists in the service of Marxism have attacked the Enlightenment world of reason, universalism, objectivity, science, empiricism, common sense and individual rights in the name of a fever swamp called *gender, race and class politics*. Filled with the moral decay and sewers of society, the Marxist religion of gender, race and class has captured the hearts and minds of the citizens of the West, and is the number one offering on Netflix. The world's largest streaming platform caters to a global audience of Marxists. The political coloration of Netflix's audience is the political coloration of the majority of people in the West today. Peddling its Marxism as the highest form of progressivism, Netflix fights for social justice in place of natural, individual justice. It meets mass viewer demand for stories with Marxist themes, perspectives and values. Passionately committed to the ideologies of the far left, the popular creators, writers and directors working on Netflix produce content shaped by their passions. In 2016, Netflix cofounder Reed Hastings represented one half of the American electorate and supported Democrat Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign. In 2018, Hastings again represented one half of the electorate and partnered with Higher Ground Productions, the film production company of Barack and Michelle Obama. In the 2024 United States presidential election, Hastings again represented one half of the electorate and supported Kamala Harris. He made a multimillion dollar donation to the Republican Accountability PAC, a super PAC supporting Harris's campaign. Hastings is the face of Netflix and Netflix is the face of the West. Greenlighting Marxist projects and promoting Marxist narratives, Netflix management is the voice of the majority, the voice of audience preferences for programming promoting Marxist revolution. **Netflix.** Look at Netfix and see the global reach of Marxist ideological tentacles. See a streaming service reach nations of Marxists aligned against the free world. See a streaming service reach armies of Marxists fighting the legacies of the Enlightenment. See a streaming service enforce Marxist ideological lockstep. See a streaming service raise a blazing hot Marxist monoculture. See a streaming service shape and reflect Marxist public opinion. See a streaming service promote Marxist culture and morality. See a streaming service seek to demolish Western liberal civilization. See a streaming service promote Marxist gay and lesbian politics. See a streaming service promote Marxist transgender politics. See a streaming service promote the Marxist creed of nonbinary human gender. See a streaming service fuel a Marxist culture war. See a streaming service compose legends from the latest Marxist party line. See a streaming service create Marxist films, series and characters for children to emulate. See a streaming service peddle Marxism under the guise of family entertainment. See a streaming service poison the minds of young people with Marxist prejudice. See a streaming service promote Marxist heroes, values and the Marxist way of life. See a streaming service condemn liberal freedom and traditions and promote Marxist religious wars, mental disorders, and the destruction of human progress and enlightenment: "If Netflix subscribers worldwide were a nation, they would rival the United States in population—and far surpass it in ideological reach. And Netflix subscribers are, in fact, a nation. They are also an army. And they are also a religion. Not even Hollywood in its Golden Age matched the ideological influence Netflix has today. But there’s a notable difference with the famous streaming platform: Netflix offers no real ideological diversity, despite constantly preaching ‘diversity.' Instead, the streaming platform displays an exhausting ideological uniformity, however subtle it may be at times, which makes its impact far more precise in shaping public opinion. Over the past year, I’ve had the chance to review Netflix’s content to analyze, recommend or discourage productions for a group of teenagers who asked me to do so. While I’m not easily shocked at this point, I admit I haven’t come across major series or films that are terrifying in the explicitness of their imagery—at least not much wilder than what was already around in the 80s during my childhood. But

what has irritated me is realizing how insidiously Netflix is quietly redefining the culture and morality of our nations and actively participating in the demolition of Western civilization's values. Exaggeration? Consider some recent examples, picked at random: Gay parents and a boy in a tutu in the animated series *CoComelon Lane*. The nonbinary bison in Ridley Jones. In the new culture wars, victory doesn't belong so much to those who hold actual power, like political power, but to those who manage to write the narrative of the moment. And the one scripting the battle, though not exclusively, is the aforementioned streaming platform. We form opinions more through what we feel on screen than what we think on paper. When I refer to the effect Netflix is having on young people, I'm not being entirely fair. The truth is, it's also affecting adults. But young people remain more vulnerable today, reaching greater levels of identification with the characters they like in their favorite series—characters they aspire to emulate—and, most importantly, they access streaming content today with a widespread lack of parental oversight. This is not only due to today's TV consumption trends, via devices and anywhere one wants, but also because many teen shows pose as harmless entertainment but are carefully designed to shape opinions on today's moral and political issues. Cultural shaping happens day and night in series and films that travel the world through a vast network that allows access to the platform in 190 countries. If, in the golden years of American cinema last century, Hollywood offered a glimpse of the American way of life through films, promoting the American hero with their values, love of freedom, and deep respect for tradition, today Netflix has taken its place to spread a deranged, sectarian, and profoundly self destructive image of the United States—and of the West in general. **Netflix.** Look at Netflix and see films portray psychotic male coal miners who deny the existence of sex chromosomes and proclaim they are women. See films proclaaim that gender identity is subjective and unrelated to biological reality. See films proclaim that psychotic children who believe they are members of the opposite sex should be allowed to surgically and chemically mutilate themselves to create the appearance of the opposite sex. See films proclaim that psychotic men who believe they are women should be viewed as women in the workplace. See men masquerading as women, men called transgender women, and women masquerading as men, women called transgender men, portrayed as victims of Enlightenment prejudice and superstition, and exalted as the vanguard of the people's revolution to complete the final chapter of the West, entitled *The Victory Of Marxism*: "Lux Pascal, Chilean-American Hollywood actor Pedro Pascal's youngest sibling, will play the lead role in the drama *Miss Carbon* (Queen of Coal), a Spanish-Argentine production based on the story of Carla Antonella Rodriguez, Argentina's first transgender coal miner worker. The film, released in Spanish theaters on June 12, will arrive domestically on Netflix this year and was directed by Agustina Macri, daughter of center-right former Argentine President Mauricio Macri. The movie tells the story of Carla 'Carlita' Antonella Rodríguez, a 33 year old from Argentina. He was born as Carlos Enrique Rodríguez in Río Turbio—a small town of 11,000 people in the Argentine Patagonia, home to many of the country's coal mines, the town's main source of income. Rodriguez reportedly became 'Argentina's first woman coal mine worker' in 2011 and 'defeated' an over 80 year old superstition barring women from entering the Rio Turbio coal mines. 'I consider myself a cautious person at first. I tend to read the situation before inserting myself into it,' Pascal, who is also transgender, told *Vogue* Spain this month. 'I feel like I'm someone who takes one step at a time, and if I then feel I can run, I run.' 'The feature film also shows the moment when Argentina's Gender Identity Law was signed into effect in 2012. An explosion of joy for the trans community and a milestone in Latin American history that was cut short in 2025, when Javier Milei, the country's current president, amended the law to prevent people under the age of 18 from accessing gender affirmation care and restricted the accommodation of prisoners according to their identity,' *Vogue Spain*'s report read. Speaking to *The Hollywood Reporter* this week, Pascal said to be initially afraid of taking the role but had a change of mind after meeting Rodriguez and reframing the story as one about 'women in the workplace.' " (*Pedro Pascal's Sibling 'Lux' To Star*

*As Transgender Coal Miner In Netflix Film* by Christian Caruzo). Allowing users to view films and television programs on a single platform, creating its own works lauded by the entertainment establishment with nominations and prizes, and successfully challenging video streaming companies, cable companies and content creators throughout the world, Netflix is the preeminent media voice of late Western civilization. Its popular brand, creating a market capitalization of 560 billion dollars and earning 40 billions dollars in revenue per year, is the worldview of Marxist mass destruction.

## RED GALES AT GLASTONBURY

It all began in the summer of 1914. A music festival is staged by English composer Rutland Boughton and his librettist Lawrence Buckley to promote communism as the highest form of utopia. With connections to prehistoric Stone Age existence, King Arthur's barbarians fighting Roman civilization, and New Age communities arising at the dawn of the twentieth century which peddled communism in the name of esotericism, occultism, spiritualism and Emmanuel Swedenborg, the English town of Glastonbury is chosen as the venue for the festival. Influential activist in the employ of the Communist Party of Great Britain, Boughton achieves notoriety in his support for the 1926 British General Strike to overthrow the government by a proletarian class war, and his nativity opera *Bethlehem*, which depicts Jesus as the son of a communist coal miner and King Herod as a top hatted capitalist. For over a century, the Glastonbury Festival has been promoting far left politics of all stripes and varieties, and while the venue has changed, and the festival is now held at Pilton, Somerset, the virulent hate is as strong as ever, and seeks the destruction of Western Enlightenment institutions. Held from June 25 to June 29, the 2025 Glastonbury Festival has continued the ideological offerings of over a century, and its one hundred stages blast out radical slogans in ear splitting decibels in all genres of popular music. At the 2025 Glastonbury Festival, 200,000 visitors on 610 hectares of land saw the latest agitation and propaganda acts manufactured in the Marxist thought factories of the West. In 2025, at the world's largest greenfield music festival, 200,000 visitors saw the 111th edition of the Glastonbury Festival sing songs of Marxist revolution new and old. **Glastonbury.** In 2025, Glastenbury's visitors saw musicians demand death to Israel because it is the only free society in the Middle East and is fighting the armies of Islam. They saw musicians peddle hate speech against Jews. They saw musicians advocate discrimination against Jews. They saw musicians make offensive comments about Jews. They saw musicians condemn Israel as a genocidal regime because it seeks to eradicate the Eastern antiuniverse of terror, dictatorship, theocracy and antiEnlightenment. They saw musicians threaten and incite violence against Jews. They saw musicians justify the killing, rape and kidnapping of Israelis. They saw musicians chant antisemitic vitriol. They saw musicians send messages of hatred of Israel, America, Jews and liberal democracy. They saw musicians peddle Marxist and Muslim fanaticism and fan the flames of world revolution: "The prime minister has condemned UK punk duo Bob Vylan for urging 'death' to Israeli troops in what he called 'appalling hate speech.' Glastonbury Festival organizers have also said they were 'appalled' after frontman rapper Bobby Vylan led chants of 'free, free Palestine' and 'death, death to the IDF [Israel Defense Forces].' In a statement, Sir Keir Starmer said the BBC had questions to answer over its live broadcast of the group's performance on Saturday. A BBC spokesperson previously said some of the comments were 'deeply offensive,' adding it had issued a warning on screen about "very strong and discriminatory language.' The set will not be available on BBC iPlayer. Sir Keir has also criticized Kneecap saying ahead of the festival that their appearance was not 'appropriate.' The Irish language rap group have previously described Israel's military action in Gaza as a genocide. He said: 'There is no excuse for this kind of appalling hate speech. I said that Kneecap should not be given a platform and that goes for any other performers making threats or inciting violence.' The prime minister is the latest in a string of cabinet ministers to denounce Bobby Vylan's comments in the 24 hours since the group appeared at Glastonbury. Directly after the set, a

government spokesperson said Culture Secretary Lisa Nandy had pressed BBC boss Tim Davie for an urgent explanation of the broadcaster's vetting process. The government added that it welcomed the decision not to rebroadcast the performance on BBC iPlayer. Shadow home secretary Chris Philp said it was 'clear' the rapper was 'inciting violence and hatred' and should be prosecuted. Speaking to the BBC's Sunday with Laura Kuenssberg program earlier, Health Secretary Wes Streeting said Bob Vylan's comments were 'revolting.' He said the "irony of that music festival is that Israelis were taken from a music festival, killed, raped and in some cases are still being held captive". Glastonbury Festival has said Bob Vylan's statements 'very much crossed a line.' A joint Instagram post from Glastonbury Festival and organizer Emily Eavis on Sunday said the event stood 'against all forms of war and terrorism", and that with almost 4,000 performances on site "there will inevitably be artists and speakers appearing on our stages whose views we do not share.' 'However, we are appalled by the statements made from the West Holts stage by Bob Vylan yesterday,' it continued. 'Their chants very much crossed a line and we are urgently reminding everyone involved in the production of the festival that there is no place at Glastonbury for antisemitism, hate speech or incitement to violence.' Bob Vylan are a London-based English punk rap duo, who formed in Ipswich in 2017. They have previously performed at Reading and Leeds festivals and toured with the likes of the Offspring, the Hives and Biffy Clyro. Bobby Vylan serves as the singer and guitarist, while Bobbie Vylan is the drummer of the band. Both members use stage names to maintain their privacy and collectively refer to themselves as 'the Bobs'. Posting on Instagram on Sunday evening, Bobby told fans he had been 'inundated' with messages of 'support and hatred,' and called for 'a change in foreign policy,' adding underneath his post: 'I said what I said.' Antisemitism campaigners said they will formally complain to the BBC over its 'outrageous decision' to broadcast the act live. The Campaign Against Antisemitism group said in a post on X that Glastonbury had 'continued its headlong descent into a pit of extremism and hatred, but it is the behavior of the BBC that is even more dangerous.' It said it would formally complain to the BBC for broadcasting the performance, as well as that of Kneecap." (*Starmer Criticizes 'Appalling' Bob Vylan IDF Chants* by Adam Hale and Zahra Fatima). **Glastonbury.** In 2025, Glastenbury's visitors saw musicians send out hate filled, leftist political messages. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who uphold the biological reality of human sex determination. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who defend the capitalist system. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who defend British liberal freedom against European Union socialist tyranny. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who condemn Marxist riots in the streets. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who defend free speech against the jackboots of political correctness. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who sell arms to Israel to help it defend itself against Muslim terror. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who support reductions in welfare spending and increases in personal responsibility. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who support Israel's right to exist as a liberal democratic society. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose Marxist gay, lesbian and transgender politics. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose the Marxist black supremacist movement known as Black Lives Matter. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose radical antiIsrael, antiAmerican and Western rhetoric. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose to violent left wing activism. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose Marxist mental derangement which seeks to revolutionize and blow up the Western homelands of freedom. They saw musicians chant *fuck you* to those who oppose the dissolution of national borders, the mass settlement of illegal aliens, and the invasion, occupation, dispossession and despoliation of Western nations at the hands of Marxist and Muslim marauders: "English singer JADE joined the chorus of leftist political messages from the Glastonbury music festival stage over the weekend, leading the crowd in a chant of *fuck you* to Nigel Farage’s Reform UK party. In what is shaping up to be one of the most political Glastonbury festivals in recent memory, 32-year old pop singer JADE (Jade Thirlwall) used her time on

stage to denounce supposed social ills facing Britain, including 'transphobia' and the Reform Party of Nigel Farage. During a rendition of her song *FUFN* (Fuck You For Now), the songstress led the crowd in a series of *fuck you* call and response chants. *Reform, fuck you. Welfare cuts, fuck you. Transphobia, fuck you. Silencing protests, fuck you. Selling arms, fuck you. Justifying genocide, fuck you*, the performer conducted. Thirlwall has a long history of left wing activism in Britain, including serving as an ambassador for the radical LGBTQ+ charity Stonewall and supporting the Marxist Black Lives Matter movement. Her lewd rant on Saturday came amid a politically charged festival weekend, with fellow artists causing controversy for radical antiIsrael rhetoric. On Sunday, Avon and Somerset police announced that they had launched an investigation into comments made at Glastonbury. This came after rap-rock duo Bob Vylan was broadcast on the BBC, leading the crowd in a chant of *death, death to the IDF* (Israeli Defense Forces). The far left rockers also drew backlash on social media for apparently supporting the dispossession of Britons from their homeland through mass migration, rapping: 'Heard you want your country back? Hah, shut the fuck up.' In response, Reform leader Nigel Farage quipped: 'If you vote Reform, you can have your country back from these lunatics.' " (*Glastonbury Performer JADE Leads Chant Of 'Fuck You' To Nigel Farage's Reform Party* by Kurt Zindulka). From the collective farm established at the first Glastonbury Festival in 1914 by Rutland Boughton and Lawrence Buckley, to the celebration of the communist hippy, counterculture and free festival movements by Michael Eavis when he moved Glastonbury to Pilton, Somerset in 1970, to the promotion of Marxist gender, race, class and sexual politics in 2025, the songs of subversion have not stopped. One hundred and eleven years of Glastonbury festivals have tracked the decline and fall of the West.

## THE ANTIDISCRIMINATION REGIME

*The 'socialist' in national socialism was taken very seriously: it was the opposite of liberalism and individualism, it was the submission of the individual to the collective interest, and it was a national--a German--socialism, as opposed to what they called the Marxist-internationalist-unGerman socialism. As Hitler once said: 'I had only to develop logically what social democracy failed. National socialism is what Marxism might have been if it could have broken its absurd ties with a democratic order. Why need we trouble to socialize banks and factories? We socialize human beings.'* (*Slouching Towards Utopia?: The Economic History Of The Twentieth Century* by Bradford DeLong, Chapter XV: *Nazis And Soviets*). Fascist economics. Government direction, regulation and intervention in the economy and in the use and disposal of private property. A regime in which private property exists in name only and is in reality the property of the state. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, the blackshirts and brownshirts of fascism have been elected to high office and sit on high court benches in the nations of the free world, and have wielded truncheons marked *antidiscrimination* to effect an invasion of private property without precedent in the history of free societies. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, the Western masses in nation after nation have voted to repeal the right to private property enshrined in civilized societies throughout history, enshrined in Western Enlightenment constitutions and declarations, and enshrined in English acts of kings and parliament, and to give the government the power to invade people's homes and businesses and enforce nondiscrimination. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, the West, the birthplace of freedom in modern times, has voted to render the right to private space a dead letter and to bring down the nightsticks of fascist antidiscrimination police on free born people. Look at the West today and see nondiscrimination enforced in areas which Western liberal traditions and institutions have traditionally regarded as off limits to the government. **European Union.** Look at the European Union and see antidiscrimination police invade the areas of private employment, private occupations, private vocations, private education, private training, private housing, private labor unions, and the private supply of goods and services. See them smash through private windows and

control private private associations and behavior: "The Race Directive and the Framework Directive were developed pursuant to the powers relating to antidiscrimination under the Amsterdam Treaty and both agreed in 2000. All EU Member States were required to implement through appropriate laws and regulations the Race Directive by 19 July 2003 and the Framework Directive by 2 December 2003. In relation to the Framework Directive, Member States were also given the option if necessary to implement provisions relating to disability and age discrimination by 2 December 2006. The two Directives differ in terms of both the groups that they protect from discrimination, as well as the scope of the sectors in which discrimination is prohibited. The Race Directive provides protection from discrimination based on racial or ethnic origin. In terms of the scope of sectors in which racial discrimination is prohibited, the Race Directive provides the broadest protection of any of the protected groups at EU level. It prohibits discrimination in employment, occupation and related areas, social protection including social security and healthcare, social advantages, education, and access to and supply of goods and services available to the public including housing. The Framework Directive provides for protection from discrimination for a much broader range of groups than the Race Directive as it protects groups identified by religion or belief, sexual orientation, disability and age. However, in contrast to the Race Directive, the Framework Directive is much more restrictive in its scope of sectors where discrimination is prohibited. It only applies to the fields of employment, occupation and related areas such as vocational training and membership of workers organizations." (*EU Antidiscrimination Law*, *Race and Framework Directives*, website). **Britain.** Look at Britain today and see antidiscrimination police invade the private provision of goods and services. See them seize control over private service providers. See them invade private terms, private trade and private conditions of business: "(1)A person (a 'service-provider') concerned with the provision of a service to the public or a section of the public (for payment or not) must not discriminate against a person requiring the service by not providing the person with the service. (2)A service provider (A) must not, in providing the service, discriminate against a person (B)—(a) as to the terms on which A provides the service to B; (b) by terminating the provision of the service to B; (c)by subjecting B to any other detriment." (Equality Act 2000). Look at Britain today and see antidiscrimination police invade the areas of private work, education, private services, private houses, private apartments, private clubs and private associations: "Types of discrimination ('protected characteristics'). It is against the law to discriminate against anyone because of: 1. age 2. gender reassignment 3. being married or in a civil partnership 4. being pregnant or on maternity leave 5. disability 6. race including colour, nationality, ethnic or national origin 7. religion or belief 8. sex 9. sexual orientation. These are called ‘protected characteristics.' You’re protected from discrimination: 1. at work 2. in education 3. as a consumer 4. when using public services 5. when buying or renting property 6. as a member or guest of a private club or association. (*Discrimination: Your Rights*, gov.uk). Look at Britain today and see antidiscrimination police invade the areas of the private workplace. See them seize control over private hiring, private promotion, private training, private dismissal, private lay offs, private terms and conditions, and private pay and benefits: "The law protects you against discrimination at work, including: 1. dismissal 2. employment terms and conditions 3. pay and benefits 4. promotion and transfer opportunities 5. training 6. recruitment 7. redundancy" (*Discrimination: Your Rights*, gov.uk). **America.** Look at America today and see antidiscrimination police redefine privately owned business accommodations as publicly owned business accommodations subject to antidiscrimination laws. See them redefine privately owned business establishments as publicly owned business establishments subject to antidiscrimination laws. See them violate the Commerce Clause in Article One of the United States Constitution. The clause states that Congress has the power 'to regulate commerce with foreign nations, among states, and with the Indian tribes.' This power to regulate interstate commerce is the power to regulate commerce among the states. It is the power confined to the regulation of interstate commerce and is not the power to

regulate activities which affect interstate commerce. The latter are distinct from the former. Interstate commerce is interstate commerce; it is commercial activities which cross state lines; activities which affect interstate commerce are not themselves interstate commerce and do not necessarily cross state lines. See antidiscrimination police state that private accommodations are public accommodations, local intrastate businesses are not local intrastate businesses, and activities which affect interstate commerce are also instances of interstate commerce and are subject to regulation: "1. Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 is a valid exercise of Congress' power under the Commerce Clause as applied to a place of public accommodation serving interstate travelers. (a) The interstate movement of persons is commerce which concerns more than one State. (b) The protection of interstate commerce is within the regulatory power of Congress under the Commerce Clause whether or not the transportation of persons between States is commercial. (c) Congress' action in removing the disruptive effect which it found racial discrimination has on interstate travel is not invalidated because Congress was also legislating against what it considered to be moral wrongs. (d) Congress had power to enact appropriate legislation with regard to a place of public accommodation such as appellant's motel even if it is assumed to be of a purely local character, as Congress' power over interstate commerce extends to the regulation of local incidents thereof which might have a substantial and harmful effect upon that commerce." (*Heart Of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States*, United States Supreme Court, 1964). See antidiscrimination police proclaim that private organizations are not private organizations but are public accommodations. See them proclaim that the right to private property and the right to free association are not constitutionally protected rights but are subordinate to state interests and state purposes: "Minnesota's compelling interest in eradicating discrimination against its female citizens, an interest unrelated to the suppression of expression, justifies the impact that application of the Act to appellee may have on its male members' freedom of expressive association. By prohibiting gender discrimination in places of public accommodation, the Act protects the State's citizenry from a number of serious social and personal harms. Assuring women equal access to the goods, privileges, and advantages of a place of public accommodation clearly furthers compelling state interests. In applying the Act to appellee, the State has advanced those interests through the least restrictive means of achieving its ends. There is no basis in the record for concluding that admission of women as full voting members will impede appellee's ability to engage in its constitutionally protected civic, charitable, lobbying, fundraising, and other activities, or to disseminate its preferred views. In any event, even if enforcement of the Act causes some incidental abridgment of appellee's protected speech, that effect is not greater than necessary to accomplish the State's legitimate purposes." (*Roberts v. US Jaycees*, United States Supreme Court, 1984). See antidiscrimination police proclaim that private agreements are not private agreements but are public agreements. See them proclaim that the actions of private individuals are not the actions of private individuals but are the actions of the state. See them proclaim that the state enforcement of private real estate covenants is the state enforcement of public real estate covenants, and the state controls private people and private lots: "Private agreements to exclude persons of designated race or color from the use or occupancy of real estate for residential purposes do not violate the Fourteenth Amendment; but it is violative of the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment for state courts to enforce them." (*Shelley v. Kraemer*, United States Supreme Court, 1948). *In all cases when the King is party, the sheriff may (if the doors be not open) break the party's house, either to arrest him, or to do other execution of the King's process, if he cannot otherwise enter. But he ought to signify the cause of his coming, and to make request to open the doors. The house of every one is to him as his castle and fortress, as well for his defense against injury and violence, as for his repose*. (*Semayne's Case*, Edward Coke, 1604). A man's home is his castle. For thousands of years in civilizations throughout history, the right to private property was held to be a natural right inherent in man, a right derived from man's nature as a free, rational agent, as a unity of

mind and body with the right to own the things he acquires by the use of his mind. This right to property, this right to keep the fruits of one's labor and effort, this right which protects the individual from a state of enslavement in which he has no right to what he has created or acquired, has been under attack in the West since the dawn of the twentieth century. Among its most preeminent and powerful enemies are the forces of the fascist antidiscrimination police.

## RELIGIONS LEFT AND RIGHT

The heat was stifling inside the courtroom and on the seventh day the proceedings had to be moved outdoors. But the stifling heat of religious belief was not to be mitigated. The place was Tennessee. The time was July 10 to July 21, 1925. The event was a trial, *State of Tennessee v. John Thomas Scopes*, and at the defendant's table sat reason, sanity, science and the Age of Enlightenment. A high school teacher named John Scopes had been charged with violating the Butler Act, which prohibited the teaching of human evolution in public schools, and the case dramatized, in the manner of Galileo's trial before the Catholic Inquisition in 1633, the ever present danger religion poses to human progress, and the need by civilized people to remain ever vigilant against it. In the century since the Scopes Trial, religions have flown thick and fast, have assumed the station of the Western establishment, and have defined the time up to the present as an age of second religiousness, an age which has seen the onset of a second Dark Ages and brought the West into a state of collapse. In the twenty first century, religion rules the West, and the multifarious enemies of reason, working separately and in concert, are single minded in their objective to overthrow the secular liberal democratic order championed during the Enlightenment. The religions ascendant today range from the old time Abrahamic faiths, Christianity, Judaism and Islam, to modern forms of psychosis, including Marxist gender, race and class politics, and Marxist inspired national socialism and white nationalism, and have captured the hearts and minds of the masses and transformed the West into a flowing gutter of human decay and degeneration. The mass attack on secularism characterizing the present day, an attack peddled in the name of God, the Bible, Society, Gender, Race, Nation, Class and the Party, is a terrifying symptom of a mass mental illness which rejects the human mind, and has plunged the West into a mass nightmare. **Marxist Left.** Look at Marxists today and see them advocate state seizure of the means of production. See them advocate communisn in the name of democratic socialism. See them advocate class consciousness in place of individual freedom. See them advocate a campaign of boycotts, divestments and sanctions against Israel on the grounds that it is the only Western style liberal capitalist democracy in the Middle East. See them advocate communism in the name of giving life and liberation to the downtrodden brothers and sisters of the world. See them advocate communism in the name of defending the rights of people calling themselves transgender and nonbinary and denying the scientifically established existence of sex chromosomes. See them advocate communism in the name of the working people. See them advocate communism in the name of God's children. See them advocate the redistribution of private wealth into the hands of the enviers, haters, miscreants and mad wolves of society: "Self proclaimed socialist and Democrat nominee for mayor of New York City, Zohran Mamdani, said in 2021 that his 'end goal' is 'seizing the means of production,' despite recently claims that he is not a communist. Mamdani, a New York state assemblyman and member of Democratic Socialists of America (DSA), shared his method to 'win socialism' while appearing virtually at a DSA conference to mobilize young people in February 2021: 'What the purpose is about this entire project—it’s not simply to raise class consciousness, but to win socialism. And obviously, raising class consciousness is a critical part of that, but making sure that we have candidates that both understand that and are willing to put that forward at every which moment that they have. We have to continue to elect more socialists, and we have to ensure that we are unapologetic about our socialism.' Naming other issues that socialists 'firmly believe in,' Mamdani went on to highlight the antiIsrael Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions (BDS) movement,

and the 'end goal of seizing the means of production, where we do not have the same level of support at this very moment.' 'Organize for what is correct and for what is right, and to ensure that over time, we can bring people to that issue,' the mayoral candidate continued. 'The ramifications of victory here is the difference between life and death for so many of our brothers and sisters and family beyond the binary across this borough of Queens.' Advocating for 'seizing the means of production' is a cornerstone of Marxist and communist thought, as it was popularized by philosopher Karl Marx. resident Donald Trump criticized Mamdani as a 'communist lunatic' after he beat former New York Governor Andrew Cuomo in NYC's Democratic primary last week. 'I'm fighting for the very working people that he ran a campaign to empower that he has since then betrayed. When we talk about my politics, you know, I call myself a Democratic socialist in many ways inspired by the words of Dr. [Martin Luther] King from decades ago, who said, 'Call it democracy, call it democratic socialism, there has to be a better distribution of wealth for all of God's children in this country.' " (*Zohran Mamdani: End Goal Is 'Seizing The Means Of Production'* by Olivia Rondeau). Look at Marxists today and see them launch loud antisemitic messages arising because Jews and Israel are symbols of Western liberalism which they hate. See them scream *death* to the Israeli Defense Forces, *death* to the Israeli state, *death* to all Jews, and *death* to Western liberal Enlightenment civilization. See them advocate the extermination of *fucking* zionists, *fucking* liberals, *fucking* capitalists and *fucking* Westerners. See them condemn Donald Trump on the grounds that he supports Israel's right to exist as a Western liberal democracy and has launched a crusade to eradicate Jew hatred from America. See them unleash their Manchurian candidates, appearing in the form of New York mayoral candidate Zohran Mamdani, the students and faculty of Harvard University and other Ivy League universities, and members of the United States Democrat Party, on a mission of persecution, intimidation, harassment and violence against Jews. See them exalt far left terror, organized left terror and Islamic terror as forces liberating mankind. See them advocate the normalization of hatred and bigotry against Jews. See them advocate a global uprising of Marxists and Muslims against Israel, Jews, America, and human freedom, progress and civilization: "The British rap duo Bob Vylan has been dumped by their major talent agency, UTA, due to antisemitic rants during a Glastonbury music festival. As *Breitbart News* reported, during the festival 'Bobby led the Glastonbury crowd in chants of 'death, death to the IDF' (Israeli Defense Forces) and 'From the river to the sea, Palestine will be free,' which denotes the elimination of the state of Israel and presumably the people therein.' He also complained about being employed by a 'fucking zionist.' As of now, the US State Department has already revoked their American visas. The fallout continued Monday with the UTA news. A 'flurry of conversations took place among senior UTA executives over the weekend, during which a decision was taken to part ways with duo Bobby Vylan and Bobbie Vylan,' the far left *Deadline* reported. 'UTA declined to comment, but the band's page has been scrubbed from the agency's website.' What the organized left, both here in the US and worldwide, have done to normalize outright Jew hatred in such a short period of time has really been something to witness. These guys felt comfortable screaming this hatred at a music festival broadcast by the BBC. In New York, a Muslim who refuses to condemn the phrase 'globalize the intifada,' which is a call to kill every Jew on earth, just won the Democrat Party's nomination for mayor. What we've seen happen to Jewish students—the naked hate, bullying, and persecution—at Harvard and other left wing Ivy League schools seemed impossible just a few years ago. Zohran Mamdani seemed impossible a few years ago. Harvard seemed impossible a few years ago. The Democrat party has painted itself into a corner with these bigots. The party's reflexive antiTrump posture means they must oppose Trump's embrace of Israel and even oppose his crusade to end American antisemitism. And now, here they are, nominating Mayor Globalize the Intifada." (*Bob Vylan Dropped By Major Talent Agency For AntiJewish Rant At Festival* by John Nolte). **Christian Right.** Look at a resurgent Christian movement in the West today and see it reject reason in the name of a Pentecostal Faith Church. See it reject reason from the fiery pulpits

inside its megachurches. See it reject reason in its massive Sunday services. See it reject reason in the name of fighting Islam. See it reject reason in the name of fighting same sex marriages, gay and lesbian politics, and transgender ideology. See it reject reason in the name of fighting cultural Marxism. See it reject reason in the name of fighting mass migration of illegal aliens. See it reject reason in the name of Our Father, Hail Mary and Glory Be. See it reject reason in the name of right wing populism and nationalist flags. See it reject reason in the name of the patron saints of Europe and the Immaculate Heart of Mary: "A few weeks before the parliamentary elections in Hungary in 2018, Pastor Sandor Nemeth, leader of the 70,000 member Pentecostal Faith Church, ascended the stage of Europe's largest megachurch in Budapest for the Sunday service. As customary, the Sunday service was broadcast to tens of thousands of households on television and online through his family run media empire. In response to a question from his congregation about his endorsement of the far right politician Viktor Orban as the only suitable candidate for prime minister, Nemeth explained what he had been saying repeatedly in recent months: voting for the opposition meant 'allowing 10,000 Muslims a year into Hungary.' He added that only Orban could protect Hungary from same sex marriages and gender ideology. He also expressed concerns that if Islamization and cultural Marxism were allowed in Hungary, 'Hungarian Christianity would never be able to recover.' One year later and a few thousand kilometers to the south, the square in front of Milan Cathedral was packed as Matteo Salvini, the Deputy Prime Minister of Italy and head of the populist radical right party Lega, concluded his party's election campaign for the European Parliament. He had previously criticized Pope Francis's remarks on refugee admission, invoking some of the patron saints of Europe. 'I personally entrust Italy, my life, and yours, to the Immaculate Heart of Mary, who I am sure will lead us to victory,' he proclaimed, drawing a sacred rosary from his breast pocket amid roaring applause from his supporters waving Italian flags." (*The European Christian Right: An Overview* by Gionathan Lo Mascolo and Kristina Stoeckl). The West of the twenty first century is the West of 1000 AD with only the names and characters changed. It is the West under the iron heel of religion. The Christian Bible takes precedence over human knowledge. The *Communist Manifesto* takes precedence over human knowledge. Hitler's *Mein Kampf* takes precedence over human knowledge. Transgenderism denying the existence of sex chromosomes takes precedence over human knowledge. Nation, race and blood take precedence over human knowledge. Radical environmentalism takes precedence over human knowledge. Radical feminism takes precedence over human knowledge. Critical legal theory critical of fairness, due process and liberal constitutionalism takes precedence over human knowledge. Critical race theory critical of individual rights and the Enlightenment takes precedence over human knowledge. Diversity and inclusion policies discriminating on the basis of group identity take precedence over human knowledge. Black Lives Matter takes precedence over human knowledge. In the twenty first century, evermultiplying flags of religion have unfurled across the West and formed a forest shutting out the light of human flourishing.

## RED STAR, RED CRESCENT, WORLD REVOLUTION

*To the grief of all the bourgeois, we'll fan a worldwide conflagration!* 1918 Soviet propaganda poster. *Comrade Lenin Cleanses the Earth of Filth!* 1920 Soviet propaganda poster. World revolution. A Marxist plan to overthrow Western liberal democratic traditions and institutions throughout the world and establish a worldwide communist totalitarian government in the name of the class struggle and under the guise of a workers' state. Appealing to moral sewers flowing with envy and discontent and blackening cities across the West, appealing to those to whom freedom is an intolerable burden because it cherishes self responsibility, allows for inequality, allows for failure, rewards success and punishes incompetence, communism is the number one mass religion of our time, and in one form or another has been occupying the ranks of the Western establishment since the dawn of the twentieth century. In the

twenty first century, communism has acquired a comrade. It is a comrade from the East, and although it has specific differences from its Western communist partner, it hates what its partner hates. It is a mass sewer religion like its partner. It hates the world of reason, secularism and freedom like its partner. It worships a supernatural being called Allah in heaven, while its Western partner worships a supernatual being called Society on earth. Fed by mass human sacrifices, these two gods are aligned against their common enemy, the ideas of the Western Enlightenment, and in the twenty first century they have seen fit to make common cause and launch a global uprising against the legacies of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, an axis movement comprised of Marxism and Islam has formed a united front and struck terror into the hearts of civilized people. In the twenty first century, the two main enemies of freedom active in the world, which between them represent three quarters of the world's population, have joined forces and issued a manifesto of antiEnlightenment which has dug the grave of civilization. Comprised of Western religionists and Eastern religionists, and rejecting the primacy of reason, Marxist-Muslim global revolutionaries advocate Hamas terror attacks against the voices of freedom. They advocate vandalism and property damage against the forces of freedom. They advocate illegal encampments against the voices of freedom. They advocate verbal and physical harassment against the voices of freedom. They advocate an Islamic Caliphate against the voices of freedom. They advocate a campaign of fire bombing against the voices of freedom. They advocate the destruction of Israel on the grounds that it is a free society and is the only free society in the Middle East. They advocate the killing, torture, kidnapping and burning of Israelis. They advocate a second holocaust against Jews because Jews and Israel are symbols of the modern, Western Enlightenment world of freedom. They advocate criminal acts on college campuses against Jewish students. They advocate a campaign of persecution of Jewish college students by faculty, administrators, and students. They advocate the enactment of antisemitism as official government policy. They advocate that hatred of Israel, America and the West be enacted as requirements for graduation from university. They advocate the condemnation of Israel as a genocidal state because it seeks to eradicate the armies of Islam seeking to destroy liberal civilization. They advocate the subordination of natural, individual justice to mystical social justice and the enslavement of the individual in the chains of social responsibility. They advocate the overthrow of the capitalist system on the grounds that it is a regime of white racism. They advocate the replacement of liberal education with Marxist ideological indoctrination. They advocate the abolition of academic freedom and the transformation of universities into Marxist marches, goosesteps and echo chambers. They advocate the overthrow of liberal democracy on the grounds that it is systemically racist against blacks. They advocate the condemnation of Israel as an apartheid regime on the grounds that it gives equal rights to whites and nonwhites and is governed by color blind laws. They advocate the extermination of whites on the grounds that they are capitalist oppressors of nonwhites. They advocate the replacement of liberal freedom and tolerance with Marxist and Islamic thought regimentation. They advocate the teaching of left wing political correctness in universities in place of the pursuit of truth and knowledge. They advocate the abolition of liberal democracy as the most evil, racist, sexist and exploitative political system ever devised by man. They advocate the replacement of the Enlightenment system of human essentialism, human universalism and individual rights with a Marxist intersectional, multitribal, combination politics of black, gay, lesbian, transgender, proletarian dictatorship. They advocate the destruction of Israel on the grounds that is a regime of white, capitalist, settler colonialism. They advocate a campaign of divestment, boycott and sanctions against Israel on the grounds that it is a liberal, capitalist Western democracy. They advocate the replacement of the Western system of individual rights, representative democracy, and separation between church and state with a communist, fascist, Islamic, national socialist, neoSoviet regime of totalitarian tyranny which will enslave life, liberty, property and prosperity within its churches: "*Globalize the Intifada*. This is the malevolent mantra, using the Arabic word for *uprising* or *struggle*,

that Hamas supporters in America invoke to justify illegal encampments, vandalism and property damage, verbal harassment, and even physical assaults against persons in their path. Their objective is the destruction of Israel, and once that is accomplished, the transformation of America into something resembling an Islamic Caliphate. Recently, this endeavor has adopted fire bombing as its tactic of choice. Just as Hamas burned to death Israeli babies on October 7, so, too, have their supporters in America attempted the same to an 88 year old Holocaust survivor in Colorado and to the Governor of Pennsylvania, who is Jewish, and his family. That much of this criminality has occurred on American college campuses is because many who inhabit these campuses—faculty, administrators, and students—either support it or refuse to condemn it. This, in turn, is because the prevailing ethos in academia reduces the complexities of politics to a crude dichotomy in which everyone who is white is an oppressor of everyone who is not. Because most Jews in America are white, they are oppressors, notwithstanding the long history of antisemitism in America. Through this conjunction of white Americans and American Jews, those in academia who accept it hate America for the same reason they hate Israel, the nation-state of the Jewish people. Both, in their view, are irreversibly evil and morally unsalvageable. On my own campus, Central Connecticut State University, this hatred of all things American and a healthy dose of hostility to Israel are virtually a requirement for graduation. Although the president of CCSU, Zulma Toro, to her great credit, authorized the creation of a committee on antisemitism shortly after Hamas began its genocidal war against Israel in 2023, the university requires students to take a course in Social Justice. As expressed on the webpage of the John Lewis Institute of Social Justice, established at CCSU after the George Floyd riots in 2020, it is the extirpation of 'inequality and racism in American society.' The intended result is cadres of graduates committed to making the personal politics of their professors the politics of America. This is not just a violation of students' academic freedom, which includes the avoidance of ideological indoctrination. It is also the consequence of a profound misreading of recent history. America is not systemically racist. Nor is Israel an apartheid regime. It is instead the most tolerant and democratic country in the Middle East. Homosexuals are thrown from roofs in Gaza and hanged from cranes in Iran. Israel holds Gay Pride parades. I cannot overstate the number of students who tell me in confidence and in whispered tones that their professors devote a percentage of their classes to proselytizing students in this left wing politics that conjoins hatred of Israel and hatred of America. The preferred term for this commingling is 'intersectionality.' In its warped view of reality, it melds the evils of America imperceptibly into the mirage of the 'settler colonialism' that ostensibly explains the original sin of the establishment of Israel. But there is an additional enemy of those in academia who hate Israel and America in equal measure that even their most vocal critics almost always ignore: Western Civilization, to the eradication of which the execrable Columbia University Apartheid Divest is explicitly committed. By this, one means the civilization of which American politics and culture are both a product and an essential part. Among the attributes of Western Civilization that make it different from—indeed, preferable to—all others in the world are individual rights, representative democracy, separation of religious and secular authority. individual rights, representative democracy, separation of religious and secular authority. It is these virtues that enabled the West, for all its failings, to end slavery, defeat nazism, weaken Soviet communism to the point where its internal contradictions ultimately destroyed it, and through an Industrial Revolution, provide prosperity to more people than anywhere else and by any other civilization in the world." (*'Globalizing the Intifada' Targets Western Civilization* by Jay Bergman). *The liberation of humanity from the yoke of capitalism has become the immediate combative task of the international proletariat. The proletariat must overthrow the power of the bourgeois, establish the dictatorship of the proletariat and Soviet power, expropriate the land, banks, transport, and industry from the capitalists, and proceed along this path toward the complete abolition of private property, and the creation of a communist economic system and society*. (Communist Youth International, Third

Congress). In the twenty first century, Marxist world revolutionaries are celebrating one hundred years of victories. Their crowning success has been achieved in alliance with Islam. Before the communists allied themselves with this Eastern movement, Hitler's national socialist neocommunists had forged an alliance. After World War II, the communists took over from Hitler's movement and became the new Western partners of Islam. In the twenty first century, a Marxist-Muslim axis of antiEnlightenment rules the world.

## TRUMP'S BILL IN AN AGE OF DECLINE

An octopus is an eight limbed mollusc with two eyes and a beaked mouth. The mouth is at the center point of its long tentacles. Able to exist in the depths of eternal darkness, an octopus can deform its shape to pass through small spaces, and as a defense against its enemies, it can camouflage itself, expel ink and poison, and jet through the water and hide. The twentieth century saw the rise of a global movement whose tentacles reached into every aspect of human activity. It peddled the poison of envy, hatred and discontent, employed the tactics of speed and deceit when pursued by its enemies, and was relentless in its mission to acquire total power. The most popular mass gutter movement in history after Christianity, this movement is known as Marxism, and in its multifarious forms and manifestations, appearing in such mutations as national socialism, critical race theory, critical legal theory, and gender, race and class politics, it has assumed control over the West in the twenty first century, and become a synonym and byword for the cultural and political establishment. In the twenty first century, the octopus of Marxism, born in the abyssal depths of mass psychology which seeks to destroy all those possessing superior intelligence and ability, has spread across the United States of America, and made a mockery of a nation founded in the Enlightenment, a nation which once led the free world and was a lighthouse of hope amidst raging storms of tyranny. In the twenty first century, the tentacular, darkness inhabiting, poison secreting movement known as Marxism has enveloped America, and is resisted by a small, antiestablishment countermovement. In 2016 and again in 2024, this antiestablishment movement elected Donald Trump president of the United States, in a victory made possible by the Electoral College, and gave Trump a mandate to confront the Marxist octopus in control of America. At no time during his political career has Donald Trump received the support of the majority of voters, but with the Electoral College mandates he has received, he has sought to cut back the extra growths of the Marxist octopus in the twenty first century. Enacted by the 119th Congress and signed into law on July 4, 2025, the One Big Beautiful Bill is Trump's signature achievement in his second term in his limited mission. The bill does not confront the one hundred year old American welfare regulatory regime because Trump has no mandate to do so. The bill is a modest attempt to excise parts of the limbs of the Marxist octopus Trump voters do not support. **One Big Beautiful Bill.** The bill increases funding for Immigrations and Customs Enforcement from $10 billion to $100 billion, in order to eliminate Joe Biden's Marxist unleashed plague of twelve million illegal aliens settled in the nation and the ongoing massive invasions of aliens taking place every day, making ICE the greatest funded law enforcement agency in the federal government. The bill reduces taxes by 4.5 trillion dollars but reduces Medicaid and Medicare spending by an uncorresponding 1.2 trillion dollars. The bill establishes work requirements for childless, able bodied recipients of Medicaid and expands work requirements for childless, able bodied recipients of the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program. The bill liberates the people from a certain mandate and serves as a counterpart to the mandate elimination in Trump's first term Tax Cuts And Jobs Act. In Trump's first term budget bill, the individual mandate to obtain health insurance was deprived of a penalty and became a mandate without means of enforcement. In the One, Big, Beautiful Bill, the mandate to purchase electric vehicles is abolished. The bill abolishes the regime of state compulsion through tax breaks to purchase electric vehicles, a regime established by Joe Biden's Inflation Reduction Act. The bill abolishes state compulsion to purchase new, used and

commercial electric vehicles. The bill abolishes state compulsion to support electric vehicle charging stations. The bill abolishes federal support for a green agenda and gives back to consumers freedom of choice: "The US House has officially passed President Donald Trump's Big Beautiful Bill, a major budget package that ends the $7,500 EV credit for new car sales, effective September 30, 2025. Signed into law by Trump, this shift will raise costs for EV owners and enthusiasts, marking a significant change for the electric vehicle industry. The decision, finalized with a 217-214 House vote, reflects a pivot away from renewable energy incentives. The bill terminates the $7,500 credit for new EVs, a $4,000 credit for used EVs, and a $7,500 commercial EV credit, all expiring on September 30, 2025. For EVXL readers, this means an immediate increase in purchase prices, potentially adding $7,500 to the cost of models like the Tesla Model 3, which starts at around $40,000. The Congressional Budget Office estimates the package adds $3.3 trillion to the national debt over 10 years, with $4.5 trillion in revenue cuts and $1.2 trillion in spending reductions. This fiscal approach prioritizes traditional energy, repealing grants for electric heavy duty vehicles and clean electricity. Additional credits, such as the Alternative Fuel Vehicle Refueling Property Credit, end on June 30, 2026, while Home Energy Efficiency Improvements and Residential Clean Energy Credits expire after December 31, 2025. These cuts remove financial support that boosted EV adoption and charging infrastructure development. For EV enthusiasts, the credit's end challenges affordability and growth. Some may turn to used markets, while others might delay purchases, impacting demand. The shift toward traditional energy could limit charging options, pushing owners to adapt to higher costs or slower infrastructure development. As of 2:38 PM EDT on July 3, 2025, the bill's effects are just beginning. Owners should prepare for price increases and monitor market trends. This legislation marks a turning point, urging the EV community to navigate a new landscape without federal support." (*Trump's Big Beautiful Bill Ends $7,500 EV Credit, Impacting Owners and Enthusiasts* by Haye Kesteloo). **One Big Beautiful Bill.** The One Big Beautiful Bill establishes what Donald Trump's voters want. They want substantial tax cuts, including the elimination of taxes on tips and overtime, border security against migrant scum, an antiballistic missile system protecting the nation, and a curtailment of the excresences of America's twenty first century Marxist big government and Democrat Party: "Representative Andy Ogles (R-TN) is celebrating the passage of the Big, Beautiful Bill, asserting that President Donald Trump not only deserves a spot on Mount Rushmore but consideration for a third term as well. 'President Donald Trump and House Republicans just made history,' Ogles said in a statement. 'I was at the White House with President Trump for several hours yesterday and spent countless hours whipping votes with Speaker Johnson for the One Big Beautiful Bill. This historic legislation will unleash our economy and usher in a new golden age for America,' he said, touting some of the benefits of the budget reconciliation bill, which will make President Donald Trump's July 4 deadline. He highlighted the tax cuts, advancements for border security, the ever popular no tax on tips or overtime, a 'war chest for ICE patriots,' the Golden Dome, and much more. 'Thanks to President Trump, we're finally reversing the damage caused by Big Government and Democrat cronies,' Ogles said." (*Representative Andy Ogles: Trump Deserves Third Term, Place on Mt. Rushmore After BBB Success* by Hannah Knudsen). **One Big Beautiful Bill.** The One Big Beautiful Bill establishes what Donald Trump's voters want. They want low income workers and retirees to be protected from federal taxation. They want the classes of city power linemen, single parents with children, and people who have worked all their adult lives to keep their wages and benefits away from the predatory hands of the state. They want reduced taxes on American businesses investing in America, creating jobs, prosperity and growth at home: "Republicans also deliver on President Trump's campaign promises for working families that 77 million Americans voted for. No tax on tips, no tax on overtime, no tax on car loan interest, and tax relief for seniors will put money directly back into the pockets of the hardworking men and women in our communities. Imagine the difference this makes in the lives of everyday people: A single mom working at your local

diner earning tips will get an additional $1,300 boost. The lineman helping restore power after a natural disaster will pay zero income taxes on his hard earned overtime wages. Working and middle class retirees will pay zero taxes on their Social Security benefits. The One Big Beautiful Bill will unleash our nation's economic growth by not only making the successful provisions of the 2017 tax cuts permanent, but by adding new progrowth provisions like 100 percent immediate cost recovery for investments made in new factories in the US to drive production and hiring here at home. We reward companies who manufacture and hire more Americans here at home, securing up to 7.2 million jobs and creating an additional one million small business jobs annually, which will fuel our manufacturing revival, and end the decline and hollowing out of rural communities across the country. This bill is for those communities, just like mine in Salem, Missouri, that have been overlooked and ignored by Washington while millions of good paying American jobs were shipped overseas. That ends today." (*This Fourth of July, Americans Celebrate the Largest Tax Cut In History* by Jason Smith). **One Big Beautiful Bill.** On July 4, 2025, on the 249th anniversary of American independence, Donald Trump signed the One Big Beautiful Bill. Listening to his voters, Trump massively reduced taxes across the board but did not finance these reductions with corresponding reductions in welfare spending. In twenty first century America, welfare programs are entrenched and untouchable. The One Big Beautiful Bill signed by Trump will in consequence increase the national debt by massive amounts. As he was hosting an Independence Day picnic for military families, Donald Trump signed a bill which sought in vain to rescue a nation in free fall and a nation unrescuable. A nation which had long since unfurled the white flag of surrender to the mob, and disgraced its traditions, institutions and independence.

## GERMANY'S NEW COMMUNIST JUDGE

He was the presiding judge of the People's Court between 1942 and 1945, a court set up by Adolf Hitler to operate outside the framework of constitutional law and try political crimes in Germany. He presided over the court in a chamber bedecked with swastika banners and a bust of Hitler. He opened each session with a national socialist salute from the bench, exclaiming *Heil Hitler!* He was the court prosecutor, judge, jury and recorder all in one, fabricating facts, evidence and the written records of the proceedings. Over ninety percent of the cases which came before him ended in guilty verdicts and he imposed over 5,000 death sentences. On the morning of February 3, 1945, while he was presiding over a Saturday session of the People's Court, United States Air Force bombers struck the building. At 11 am, Roland Friesler was crushed and killed by falling masonry. At the Waldfriedhof Dahlem cemetery in Berlin, Hitler's screaming chief judge is buried, his name unrecorded on the gravestone. In the twenty first century, Judge President Roland Friesler has returned from the abyssal depths and entered the soul of his successor. In the twenty first century, a reincarnation has occurred in which names and faces have changed but the terrifying cause has remained the same. In the twenty first century, the totalitarian enemies of freedom which ruled Germany under the Third Reich have reappeared marching under the banners of their rival brothers, and a new movement of gender, race and class Marxism has succeeded Hitler's movement of Aryan race Marxism as the leader of the German cultural and political establishment. In the twenty first century, the personification of national socialist law in Germany has been succeeded by the personification of Marxist law, and the most feared judge in Germany under Hitler has been succeeded by a judge who has struck fear into the hearts of civilized people still dedicated to the ideas of the liberal Enlightenment. He has been succeeded by a judge who has done to the postwar Federal Republic of Germany what her forerunner did to the Weimar Republic: disgraced it. **Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf.** Listen to Professor Doctor Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf, Dean of Studies, Faculty of Law, University of Potsdam, and soon to become member of the Federal Constitutional Court, and hear the latter day voices of Germany's Weimar redshirts as they battle the latter day voices of Germany's Weimar brownshirts. Listen to Professor Doctor Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf, Chair of

Public Law, Constitutional Law and Social Law at the University of Potsdam, and hear the battles of yesteryear replayed and hear history repeat itself, as Germany spirals into Marxist chaos. Justice Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf proclaims that a progressive society is carpeted with radical statements in support of Marxist subversion of liberal democracy. A progressive society bans right wing, populist, fascist parties, such as the Alternative for Germany, in the name of left wing, populist, communist parties, such as the Social Democrat Party, the Green Party and the Left Party. A progressive society bans fascist parties in the name of defensive democracy while it exalts communist parties in the name of defensive democracy. A progressive society deprives fascists of their fundamental rights, prohibits them from standing in elections, and exterminates them in a communist organized holocaust. A progressive society bans fascist parties as anticonstitutional parties while it exalts communist parties as constitutional parties. A progressive society invades people's bodies and forces them to take covid vaccines in the name of the constitutional obligation to protect the health and safety of the communist state. A progressive society bans the use of masculine expressions in language and polices language in the name of achieving gender appropriateness, increasing women's representation, and advancing lesbian, feminist and transgender rights. A progressive society is a left wing party, a center-left party, a center-right party, a socialist party, a Green Party, a Social Democrat Party and a Christian Democratic Union dedicated, one and all, to the transformation of the Basic Law and the Bundestag into weapons of communist revolution: "Nominated by the Social Democratic Party SPD but also backed by Chancellor Merz's 'center-right' CDU, 54 year old law professor Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf is tapped to later this month become one of the two new members of Germany's highest judicial body, the Federal Constitutional Court. Brosius-Gersdorf has made headlines with radical statements over the years, but she's caused the biggest stir by openly advocating for banning the right wing populist AfD, Germany's largest opposition party, which stands a close second in the opinion polls, just a few points below the ruling CDU. During a talk show in July last year, the professor not only argued that Germany's 'defensive democracy' must find 'safeguards against anticonstitutional parties'—meaning explore the idea of banning AfD—but even expressed regret that such a solution would 'not eliminate its following' as well. Taken aback by the comment, the host asked her to clarify if she wanted to 'eliminate' people. 'Of course not,' she replied, but quickly added that 'We have the possibility of depriving individuals of fundamental rights,' including the right to stand for elections in the future. Since there are only three candidates nominated for the two vacancies, and she was nominated by a governing party, it's almost guaranteed that she will get the job. And even though most constitutional lawyers see banning the AfD as legally unfeasible, Brosius-Gersdorf's election will mean the political establishment is one step closer to that goal. Brosius-Gersdorf's attitude toward fundamental rights also shone through during the COVID pandemic, when she became one of the biggest advocates of mandatory vaccination in Germany, arguing that the federal state had a 'constitutional obligation' to force people to take the jab. 'It is the state's responsibility to effectively protect the vast majority of the population, who are voluntarily vaccinated, from having their health continually threatened by the unvaccinated,' she said back in 2021. The incoming judge also wants to change the Constitution to make it linguistically 'gender appropriate.' Brosius-Gersdorf argued that using a generic masculine as standard for all genders in the basic law leads to 'a mental underrepresentation of women,' and the state is obliged to 'choose a form of expression that does justice to the fundamental rights of women and people of different sexes and the constitution.' In order to be elected, Brosius-Gersdorf needs a two thirds majority approval in the Bundestag, meaning the support of both ruling parties and most of the Greens and Left MPs as well. But since her positions are quite popular among left wing parties, the only chance to block her election would be if a good portion of CDU members break ranks, which is also unlikely, as the party made a pact with the SPD to support its candidate in exchange for the socialist support for theirs to fill the other vacancy." (*German Lawyer Who Wants To Ban AfD Set To Be Elected To Constitutional Court* by

Tamas Orban). **Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf.** Justice Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf proclaims that a progressive society is a radical Marxist society. A progressive society has a constitutional duty to establish a communist totalitarian state. This totalitarian state will establish mandatory covid vaccinations. It will establish the mandatory use of feminist, lesbian and transgender language. It will establish totalitarianism in the name of the fundamental rights of women and persons of diverse, nonbinary, self declared genders. It will ban fascist parties, such as the Alternative for Germany, deprive its members of their rights to life, liberty and property, and throw them into communist gas chambers. It will adopt a radical stance on the right of free speech and reserve this right exclusively for communists. It will reject the liberal separation between church and state, and the liberal idea of state neutrality with respect to religion, and enforce the communist church of human sacrifice and enslavement. It will establish a mighty political hammer comprised of the SPD, the CDU, the Left Party, the Green Party, the Bundestag, the Basic Law and the Constitutional Court, and pulverize the Enlightenment institutions of the postwar Federal Republic of Germany: "The Federal Constitutional Court in Karlsruhe is facing momentous personnel decisions: Three of its 16 constitutional court judges are retiring, and their positions will be filled. On July 7, the Election Committee of the German Bundestag will appoint three new constitutional court judges, who must then be elected by the Bundestag with a two thirds majority. Two of the candidates were proposed by the SPD, and only one by the CDU. This is surprising, given that the CDU has almost twice as much representation in the Bundestag as the SPD. The SPD is fielding law professors Ann-Katrin Kaufhold from Munich and Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf from Potsdam. Ms Brosius-Gersdorf has attracted attention in the past for her radical political statements. In 2021, she fought for mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. She even considered mandatory vaccination a 'constitutional duty' and claimed that the health of the vaccinated was threatened by the unvaccinated, which was demonstrably untrue. She also called for the Basic Law to be rewritten in a 'gender appropriate' language. The state has a duty to 'choose language that does justice to the fundamental rights of women and persons of diverse genders and, in general, to the constitution.' Brosius-Gersdorf is particularly vehement in her support for a ban on the AfD." (*SPD Sends Radical Lawyer From Potsdam To Karlsruhe* by Gunnar Schupelius). On February 3, 1945 at 15 Bellevue Street in Berlin, a man lay dead and buried beneath a fallen column of masonry. The building was the headquarters of the German People's Court. The dead man was a fascist hanging judge meting out death sentences by the thousands. He was the president of a fascist kangaroo court without fairness, justice or judicial credibility. In 2025, at 3 Schlossbezirk in Karlsruhe, Germany, at the headquarters of the Federal Constitutional Court, Roland Friesler has come back to life in the person of a communist hanging judge and a communist kangaroo court judge. Banning fascist parties and enforcing communist lockstep as Friesler banned communist parties and enforced fascist lockstep, Frauke Brosius-Gersdorf is the judicial emblem of twenty first Germany in free fall.

## PULP COMMUNISM

It is the number one mass movement of our time. It defines the term *mass movement* because it appeals as nothing else does to the gutters and sewers flowing with the masses. It is a movement of the failures, the ignorant, the envious and the discontented. It is a movement of equal sharing and the leveling down of the able, the talented and the producers of wealth. It is a movement of social mysticism which enslaves the individual to a god called Society and makes usefulness to Society the standard for judging individual worth. Establishing a regime of collectivist control and totalitarian tyranny in the name of a mystical, unperceivable, supernatural tribe called the proletariat, this movement is known as communism, and in the late stages of Western civilization, it is everywhere. It commenced its dark career at the dawn of the twentieth century, and over the past one hundred and twenty five years, it has grown in power and influence and become a synonymn and byword for the Western cultural and

political establishment. In its multifarious manifestations and mutations, promoting class politics, gender politics, race politics, ethnicity politics, gay politics, lesbian politics, environmental politics, indigenous politics and Islamic politics, promoting the politics of tribes one and all united against a common enemy, against the ideas of individual freedom and the Western Enlightenment, communism is promoted in every activity patronized or populated by the masses. It is promoted in homes, classrooms, corporate suites, shop floors, councils of state, city halls, cabinet offices, courts of law, houses of parliament, and in the lowest echelons of popular culture. No sector of human activity is immune from the onslaught of communism because communism has captured the hearts and minds of the masses. On April 18, 1938, an American writer named Joe Siegel and an American artist named Joe Shuster brought out in an American comic book series called *Action Comics* a communist superhero called *Superman*. The quintessential mass publications, entertaining the masses with their simple illustrated stories told in sequential panels and word balloons, comic books opened their presses to communism in the character of a superhero fighting what he deemed to be evil with his extraordinary powers. He was the first and most popular character in the superhero genre, a figure who would appear in novels, films, radio shows, television shows, theater and video games the world over in the decades which followed, and he commenced his crime fighting career as a spokesman for his creators' communist sympathies. **Superman.** Look at the superhero enforce communist law with his fists. Look at him intimidate anticommunists with threats and force. Look at him bash the heads of anticommunists. Look at him reject liberal law and liberal order in favor of anarchism, crime, and communist law and communist order. Look at him engage in communist subversion of free societies. Look at him knock down the doors of anticommunists and dangle anticommunists from fifth storey windows. Look at him condemn anticommunists as wife beaters, mine owners who let their workers die in unsafe mines, crule directors of homes for orphans, land developers sabotaging their competitors' buildings, industrial robber barons, ruthless slum lords, purveyors of vice and addiction, reckless drivers heedless of human life, corrupt mayors not enforcing traffic laws, dishonest business men peddling defective merchandise, factory owners producing unsafe cars, and industrial magnates using inferior materials and sacrificing human lives on the altar of stratospheric profits. Look at him promote the cause of left wing revolution. Look at him spread fear in the streets in a violent, direct action campaign to smash civilization and establish socialism: "Returning to cinemas next week, the superhero may be known as the ultimate all American Mr Nice Guy–but, back in the 1930s, he didn't begin that way. The first Superman strips were written by Jerry Siegel, drawn by Joe Shuster, and published in *Action Comics* magazine in 1938 by DC (or National Allied, as the company was then called). And in those, he was a far more unruly, and in some ways far more modern character. He was 'a head bashing Superman who took no prisoners, who made his own law and enforced it with his fists, who gleefully intimidated his foes with a wicked grin and a baleful glare,' says Mark Waid, a comics writer and historian, in his introduction to a volume of classic *Action Comics* reprints. 'He was no supercop. He was a superanarchist.' If this rowdy and rebellious Superman were introduced today, he'd be hailed as one of the most subversive superheroes around. 'I had no idea the character was like that until I started writing my book,' says Paul S Hirsch, author of *Pulp Empire: A Secret History Of Comic Book Imperialism*. 'But it blew my mind when I saw it. He's essentially a violent socialist.' The earliest issues of *Action Comics* bear out this assessment. When there are wrongs to be righted, Superman knocks down doors and dangles suspects from fifth storey windows, and he makes hearty jokes while he's doing so: 'See how easily I crush your watch in my palm? I'll give your neck the same treatment!' Some of the people who are roughed up by this boisterous outlaw are pistol packing racketeers, but usually they are a less glamorous brand of villain–a domestic abuser, an orphanage superintendent who is cruel to children–and the majority are so wealthy that they don't need to rob banks: there is the mine owner who skimps on safety measures, the construction magnate who sabotages a competitor's

buildings, the politician who buys a newspaper in order to turn it into a propaganda sheet. Rather than being a typical costumed crime fighter, then, the Superman of 1938 was a left wing revolutionary. Few comic characters were as militant as Superman. In one early issue, he demolishes a row of slum homes in order to force the authorities to build better housing (a risky strategy, that one). In another, he takes on the city's gambling industry because it is bankrupting addicts. And in another, he declares war on everyone he sees as being responsible for traffic related deaths. He terrifies reckless drivers, he abducts the mayor who hasn't enforced traffic laws, he smashes up the stock of a second hand car dealer, and he wrecks a factory where faulty cars are assembled. 'It's because you use inferior metals and parts so as to make higher profits at the cost of human lives,' he informs the owner. Were Superman's direct action protest campaigns strictly legal? No, but they were riotous, boldly political fun–and almost 90 years on, they stand as a fascinating street level account of US urban life in the 1930s." (*'He Was A Violent Socialist': How Superman Started Out As A Radical Rebel* by Nicolas Barber). **Superman.** Look at the superhero promote a communist economy. Look at him seek to create communist citizens and slaves of the state. Look at him exalt communism as a world of superabundance for the people. Look at him exalt Karl Marx, Joseph Stalin and Leon Trotsky. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime of mistreatment and subjugation of the working class. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime of mass deprivation and immiseration. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime of unemployment, depression, anxiety and despair. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime of national socialist oppression and mass murder of Jews. Look at him condemn businessmen as evil, greedy, pitiless and unprincipled. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime of imperialism, war making, military interventions and munitions production. Look at him condemn capitalism as a regime without a legitmate justice system, a regime which sentences innocent people to death as it sanctions domestic violence. Look at him engage in kidnapping, assault, breaking and entering, and the destruction of private property, while marching under the banners of communist truth, communist struggle and communist morality: "Milwaukee Socialist Party lecturer Joseph Piricin gave lectures arguing that a socialist economy would create a superabundance of goods that would make the future socialist citizen 'a veritable superman.' Piricin claimed that he gave a lecture on this subject in Cleveland in the early 1930s that was attended by Jerry Siegel and Joe Schuster.The term *Superman* was rich with political potential, used widely across the political spectrum, from nazi Germany to revolutionary Marxist Leon Trotsky. In a 1975 press release, Jerry Siegel gave his own reasonings for the creation of the Superman character that would eventually become world famous. Unsurprisingly, they reflected the political and social problems of the 1930s a great deal. 'What led me into conceiving Superman in the early thirties? Listening to President Roosevelt's fireside chats, being unemployed and worried during the Depression and knowing hopelessness and fear. Hearing and reading of the oppression and slaughter of helpless, oppressed Jews in nazi Germany. Seeing movies depicting the horrors of privation suffered by the downtrodden. Reading of gallant, crusading heroes in the pulps, and seeing equally crusading heroes on the screen in feature films and movie serials (often pitted against malevolent, grasping, ruthless madmen). I had the great urge to help, to help the despairing masses, somehow. Now could I help them, when I could barely help myself? Superman was the answer. And Superman, aiding the downtrodden and oppressed, has caught the imagination of a world.' Superman’s first appearance in *Action Comics 1* (June, 1938) reflected many of these concerns. The story opens with Superman saving an innocent from the electric chair, before pulverizing a wife beater, and ends with him confronting a lobbyist for arms manufacturers who want to get the United States involved in a foreign war." (*From Champion Of The Oppressed To Truth, Justice And the American Way: Who Took The Socialism Out Of Superman?* by Hank Kennedy). Soon after he arrived in America from the planet Krypton, Superman began developing superhuman powers. He developed superhuman strength, superhuman speed, impervious skin, and superhuman vision which could see with x rays and infrared light. In the early days of the

Superman series, this best selling superhero in the world of comic books used his powers to carry out the spirit of the times. Chanelling the religion of the mob in an age of civilizational collapse, he commenced his career as a communist vigilante fighting the forces of freedom.

## TWO CHURCHILLS

*In the small hours of one morning, I was awakened by cries of horror from Louis. Thinking he had a nightmare, I awakened him. He said angrily: 'Why did you wake me? I was dreaming a fine bogey tale.' I had awakened him at the first transformation scene.* (*The Life Of Robert Louis Stevenson* by Graham Balfour, quoting Stevenson's wife Fanny). The defining book of Gothic horror literature, *The Strange Case Of Dr Jekyl And Mr Hyde* brought a phrase into the English language. *Jekyl and Hyde* is used to refer to individuals with dual personalities, individuals whose souls are scenes of battles between good and evil, who spend their lives seeking to repress the evil urges within. In the twentieth century, a Jekyl and Hyde figure ruled a nation whose empire once led the free world and once civilized savage lands far and wide. The evil side of his character reflected the spirit of the times and the raging storms sending civilization spiraling into extinction. The evil side was the promotion of the number one mass movement of our time, a movement of the moral sewers fouling society with envy, hatred, discontent and violence, a movement of the lowest and most criminal elements of human existence, risen now to the ruling circles of society. This movement is known as communism, and in the twentieth century it became a synonym and byword for the Western cultural and political establishment. The Jekyl and Hyde figure was Winston Churchill and the nation he ruled was Britain. British statesman, writer and military offer, Winston Leonard Spencer Churchill was prime minister of Britain from 1940 to 1945 and from 1951 to 1955. In a political career which commenced in 1900 and concluded when he resigned as prime minister in 1955, Churchill both promoted communism, channeling the spirit of the times, and opposed it, being part of a countermovement which enjoyed low popular support. Throughout his career, Winston Churchill the communist coexisted in equal measure with Winston Churchill the anticommunist, and the Hyde communist brought forth the British welfare state with the 1910 People's Budget and the inclusion of the Soviet Union in the Allied Powers during World War II, while the Jekyl anticommunist issued the famous Iron Curtain speech in 1946 which warned the West about the menace of the Soviet totalitarian empire. Winston Churchill the communist was the voice of the mob, while Winston Churchill the anticommunist was the voice of morality, and Winston Churchill's soul and civilization were scenes of titanic battles between the mob and morality, battles which saw a stalement settle within his soul but gave victory to the forces of human enslavement in the West, and has dug the grave of civilization. **The Communist Churchill.** Passed on April 29, 1910, the People's Budget was sponsored by the Chancellor of the Exchequer in the Liberal government, David Lloyd George, and his ally Winston Churchill, President of the Board of Trade. Authored by two figures called the Terrible Twins by the vanishing voices of freedom in Britain, the People's Budget introduced taxes on land and income, taxes without precedent in British history, in order to redistribute wealth, fund social programs and establish a welfare state, a state without precedent in British history. Listen to Churchill advocate communism in the name of preventing land from being idle. In the name of preventing landowners who do not need their land and do not use their land from keeping it away from the people. In the name of giving the downtrodden places to live. In the name of providing housing to the poor. In the name of renovating slums. In the name of clearing congested areas. In the name of advancing the people's commerce. In the name of advancing the people's land development. In the name of the advantage of society. Listen to Churchill reject liberal law and order and promote a communist antiworld, antimatter, anticivilization and apocalypse. Listen to him promote communist robbery, revolution, retrogression and the return of mankind to the year zero: "The income derived from land and its rateable value under the present law depend upon the use to which the land is put,

consequently income and rateable value are not always true or complete measures of the value of the land. Take the case to which I have already referred of the man who keeps a large plot in or near a growing town idle for years while it is ripening–that is to say, while it is rising in price through the exertions of the surrounding community and the need of that community for more room to live. Take that case. I dare say you have formed your own opinion upon it. Mr Balfour, Lord Lansdowne, and the Conservative Party generally think that that is an admirable arrangement. They speak of the profits of the land monopolist as if they were the fruits of thrift and industry and a pleasing example for the poorer classes to imitate. We do not take that view of the process. We think it is a dog in the manger game. We see the evil, we see the imposture upon the public, and we see the consequences in crowded slums, in hampered commerce, in distorted or restricted development, and in congested centers of population, and we say here and now to the land monopolist who is holding up his land–and the pity is it was not said before–you shall judge for yourselves whether it is a fair offer or not–we say to the land monopolist: 'This property of yours might be put to immediate use with general advantage. It is at this minute saleable in the market at ten times the value at which it is rated. If you choose to keep it idle in the expectation of still further unearned increment, then at least you shall be taxed at the true selling value in the meanwhile." (Speech by Churchill in the House of Commons, in *Labor Affairs*, July 8, 2022). Listen to Winston Churchill promote communism in the name of social reform. In the name of One Nation Conservatism. In the name of providing full employment, minimum wages, social insurance and unemployment insurance: "Paradoxically the social reforms which entitle Churchill to the title of One Nation Conservative were enacted when he was a member of a Liberal cabinet after 1908. Indeed, it was partly because he believed that the Conservatives had ceased to be a party of social reform that he crossed the floor to the Liberals in 1904. Churchill pioneered the welfare state–measures such as labor exchanges, minimum wages in sweated trades, and the world's first system of unemployment insurance. All this was in his words 'the untrodden field of politics.' To help him, he brought into government in an advisory role, William Beveridge, later to be author of the famous report on social insurance. Churchill is best remembered of course for his leadership in war from 1940 to 1945. But in 1951, he had a second innings as peacetime prime minister. Here too he made his contribution to One Nation Conservatism, not by action as had been the case before 1914, but by inaction. He preserved the welfare state measures enacted by Attlee's Labor government after 1945, rather than dismantling them as some Conservatives had wished. His peacetime government cemented his reputation as a One Nation Conservative." (*Winston Churchill As A One Nation Conservative* by Vernon Bogdanor). **The Anticommunist Churchill.** Listen to Churchill condemn the nightmare physical and political boundary called the Iron Curtain, constructed by the Communist Bloc against the Free World. Listen to him condemn the communist enslavement Central and Eastern Europe. Listen to him condemn the Soviet sphere of civilizational subversion reaching from Warsaw to Berlin to Prague to Vienna to Budapest to Belgrade to Bucharest to Sofia. Listen to him condemn the Soviet armies terrorizing people from Stettin to Trieste. Listen to him condemn the shadow of communist slavery looming over Western Europe and darkening Athens, the capital of classical antiquity. Listen to him condemn the shadow of communist slavery looming over Turkey and Persia. Listen to him condemn the communist mass expulsion of Germans from Prussian territory, the greatest illegal expulsion in history. Listen to him condemn the communist parties across Europe bringing police brutality and totalitarian control everywhere they operate. Listen to him condemn the Soviet control of German communist leaders and the communist destruction of freedom in East Germany. Listen to him condemn an international movement and conspiracy directed by the Soviet government to reverse the liberation of nations occupied by the Hitler's Germany, bring down a new tyranny upon them, and destroy the traditions and institutions of Western civilization: "From Stettin in the Baltic to Trieste in the Adriatic an iron curtain has descended across the Continent. Behind that line lie all the capitals of the ancient

states of Central and Eastern Europe. Warsaw, Berlin, Prague, Vienna, Budapest, Belgrade, Bucharest and Sofia, all these famous cities and the populations around them lie in what I must call the Soviet sphere, and all are subject in one form or another, not only to Soviet influence but to a very high and, in some cases, increasing measure of control from Moscow. Athens alone, with its immortal glories, is free to decide its future at an election under British, American and French observation. The Russian dominated Polish government has been encouraged to make enormous and wrongful inroads upon Germany, and mass expulsions of millions of Germans on a scale grievous and undreamed of are now taking place. The communist parties, which were very small in all these Eastern States of Europe, have been raised to preeminence and power far beyond their numbers and are seeking everywhere to obtain totalitarian control. Police governments are prevailing in nearly every case, and so far, except in Czechoslovakia, there is no true democracy. Turkey and Persia are both profoundly alarmed and disturbed at the claims which are being made upon them and at the pressure being exerted by the Moscow government. An attempt is being made by the Russians in Berlin to build up a communist party in their zone of occupied Germany by showing special favors to groups of left wing German leaders. At the end of the fighting last June, the American and British armies withdrew westwards, in accordance with an earlier agreement, to a depth at some points of 150 miles on a front of nearly 400 miles to allow the Russians to occupy this vast expanse of territory which the Western democracies had conquered. If now the Soviet government tries, by separate action, to build up a procommunist Germany in their areas this will cause new serious difficulties in the British and American zones, and will give the defeated Germans the power of putting themselves up to auction between the Soviets and the Western democracies. Whatever conclusions may be drawn from these facts--and facts they are--this is certainly not the liberated Europe we fought to build up. Nor is this one which contains the essentials of permanent peace." (*Sinews Of Peace*, March 5, 1946). Intelligent and evil, mentally sound and mentally unbalanced, benevolent and criminal, freedom loving and freedom hating, promoting the rule of law and promoting the rule of violence, condemning welfare entitlements as soul destroying and exalting welfare entitlements as the path to national betterment, condemning the Soviets as mortal enemies of the West and exalting the Soviets as and allies of the West, defending the rights of individuals and defending the rights of the state. Thus was Winston Churchill, thus was his darkness and his light, thus was this statesman of the twentieth century, in the years of civilization's fall.

## COMMUNISTS AND FASCISTS CONTROL THE WEST

January 22, 1931. Berlin, Germany. A debate between Joseph Goebbels, National Socialist Party gauleiter for Berlin and Brandenburg, and Walter Ulbricht, chairman of the Communist Party of Germany for Berlin and Brandenburg, is held in the city's Friedrichshain district. After Goebbels and Ulbricht finish speaking, a brawl breaks out in the audience between the communists and the national socialists, and requires two hours for the police to disperse. Hundreds of people are injured and the streets are littered with debris. In the twenty first century, the brawling between the redshirts and the brownshirts has continued without surcease, and filled the streets with noise and violence. The difference in colors conceals the sameness of tactics, goals and ideology. Proceeding from the moral sewers galvanized by their master Karl Marx, founder and prophet of the greatest, largest and most successful sewer religion in modern times, the communists and national socialists battling on the streets of Weimar Germany, and battling on the streets of Western capital cities today, seek the same thing. They seek the overthrow of Enlightenment institutions and seek the destruction of the individual. They differ only with respect to the specific name and flag under which they march. While communist rifles target freedom for assassination in the name of a class, fascist rifles target freedom for assassination in the name of a race, and while a communist movement seeks to foment an international class war, a fascist movement seeks to foment an international race war. In the mid twentieth century, communist

munitions factories, reading a blueprint written in the logic of tribalism, produced rifles for new comrades to join them in their war against capitalism. They produced rifles for black supremacists and feminist supremacists, and produced a fearsome gender, race and class assassin armed to the hilt, and spraying bullets into neighborhoods, families, schools and offices across the West. In the twenty first century, this Marxist assassin sprays bullets in the name of women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders, illegal migrants, poor people and Islamic terrorists, and has become a synonym and byword for the Western cultural and political establishment. In its rampage against liberal democracy, this gender-race-class Marxist assassin is joined by fascists, white identitarians, economic nationalists and Aryan supremacists, and in the twenty first century, these two Marxist based killers have continued the struggles started by their predecessors over a century ago, and pushed the West into a state of collapse. **Gender, Race and Class Killers.** Today's communists proclaim that a progressive society bans Israelis because they are antiBolshevik. A progressive society denies Israel's right to exist on the grounds that it is Western, liberal, capitalist state. A progressive society leads a intifada against the legacies of the Enlightenment, against Israel, America and free institutions throughout the world, in the name of Marxism and Islam. A progressive society seeks to kill Jews because it seeks to kill capitalism. A progressive society is a Hamas terror society targeting the lone symbol of freedom in the Middle East: Israel. A progressive society condemns Israel as a violent state because it seeks to wipe out Muslim terror and theocracy and defend the cause of freedom. A progressive society condemns Israel because it cuts off electricity to Muslim terrorists and enemies of the West. A progressive society condemns Israel because it occupies Muslim lands where people do not live freely, and seeks to implant in these lands the rule of law. A progressive society condemns Israel as an apartheid state because it upholds the liberal principle of political equality, and rejects the establishment of Arab and Muslim supremacy. A progressive society runs free communist buses. A progressive society imposes confiscatory communist taxes on the rich and taxes the rich out of existence. A progressive society establishes communist rent controls. A progressive society establishes free communist day care. A progressive society establishes communist, government owned grocery stores. A progressive society establishes communist wages unrelated to work. A progressive society robs Peter to pay Paul and spends billions of dollars in tax money to support a class of social parasites and stamp out freedom forever: "1. Mamdani is a salon Bolshevik. His mother is Mira Nair, a millionaire movie director. It was Mira Nair who tried to have the actress Gal Gadot banned from the Oscars because she is Israeli. 3. Mamdani has repeatedly refused to say that Israel, as the state of the Jewish people, has a right to exist. During an event hosted by the UJA-Federation of New York last month, Mamdani declined to recognize Israel’s right to exist. 6. Zohran Mamdani has no objection to the chant 'globalize the Intifada.' The two Intifadas ('uprisings') carried on by Palestinian terrorists resulted in the deaths of more than a thousand Israeli civilians. Mamdani is so appreciative of the result that he would like to spread that Intifada across our giddy globe. The phrase means only one thing: kill Jews not just in Israel, but everywhere. Mamdani has embraced the slogan. 11. On October 8, 2023, a day after Hamas terrorists attacked Israel, Zohran Kwame Mamdani posted on X/Twitter a message that blamed Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, the Israeli government, and the Knesset for the 'ongoing violence in Israel and Palestine.' He never mentioned Hamas. Instead, he wrote this: 'Netanyahu’s declaration of war, the Israeli government’s decision to cut electricity to Gaza, and Knesset members calling for another Nakba will undoubtedly lead to more violence and suffering in the days and weeks to come.' Mamdani concluded his post by claiming that 'the path toward a just and lasting peace can only begin by ending the occupation and dismantling apartheid.' There was no mention of Hamas.There was no occupation of Gaza after 2005. There is no apartheid in Israel, where Jewish and Arab citizens have exactly the same political, social and economic rights. 15. Mamdani wants to make New York City buses free. No wonder bus riders in the city voted for him. Such a policy would cost the city annually

about $780 million. Mamdani has not explained how he would make up that shortfall. He could increase taxes on the very rich, more of whom will then move out of the city altogether, and perhaps out of state, to Connecticut or New Jersey, shrinking the NYC tax base still further. 17. Mamdani wants to prevent landlords from raising rents. He wants a 'rent freeze' on units that already are rent stabilized. Landlords will then cut back on repairs and improvements on the units they own. Many current landlords will get out of the business altogether, selling properties off to homebuyers. Mamdani's policy would shrink the number of rental properties available, hurting the very renters he claims to be helping. 18. Mamdani wants to build a series of city owned grocery stores that will be nonprofit. With their lower prices, these stores will drive privately owned grocery stores out of business, leading to shopping deserts throughout the city. 19. Mamdani wants to provide free child care for all. How many billions of dollars would that cost New York City taxpayers? How many families with large numbers of children would move to NYC in order to transfer the child care burden to the city's taxpayers? 20. Mamdani wants to raise the minimum wage to $30/hour, nearly double the current minimum wage of $16.50. This measure would lead to job cuts, greater automation, and increased prices for goods and services." (*Twenty One Things to Know About Zohran Mamdani* by Hugh Fitzgerald). **Fascist Killers.** Today's fascists condemn nations upholding the Enlightenment ideas of human essentialism, universality and individual rights as materialistic, GDP obsessed nowhere lands. They promote a racist, national socialist, antiindividualist nation as a somewhere land. They promote fascism in the name of controlling illegal migration. They condemn capitalism as a regime of dishonest, unprincipled landlords and industrial magnates. They condemn capitalism as a regime of ruthless global commerce preying upon the working classes of the world. They promote fascism in the name of true conservatism. They condemn freedom as a rootless, irresponsible, uncontrolled, antisocial, antitraditional, antiracial and antihuman regime based on the way of life of degraded philistines: "It seems that a great many of the 'right wing' politicians who led the Brexit charge back in 2016 did so more out of a desire to make Britain a GDP obsessed nowhere land than to secure the interests of its people. Sold as a restoration of borders, our departure from the European Union served in practice to empower globalist drones like Boris Johnson, who saw fit to subject the nation he professes to love to further torrents of replacement migration from the Third World. Self serving business elites and rogue landlords have been the sole beneficiaries. Montesquieu had it right when he observed in *The Spirit Of The Laws* (1748) that, as far as the interests of commerce go, the whole world 'comprises but a single state, of which all societies are members.' Many self described conservatives, from the Bush dynasty in the United States to the postBrexit globalists led by Johnson, have fallen for the idea that their task is to conserve only the interests of such a state, which naturally must run on the ideological software of a rootless, unbridled, anticultural liberalism. One such figure is Sir Jacob Rees-Mogg, perhaps Johnson's most reliable, longstanding hero worshiper. Very much a lover of theater, he also enjoys cosplaying as a bespectacled, patriotic Tory from the late eighteenth century, even as he pushes an agenda more consistent with the deracinated, antitraditionalist daydreams of Ayn Rand." (*How A Clique Of Demographic Vandals Betrayed Britain* by Harrison Pitt). February 11, 1927. Berlin, Germany. Joseph Goebbels rents Pharus Hall in Berlin's Wedding district, a hall which serves as the unofficial headquarters of the German Communist Party, and leads his brownshirts in a rally inside. Goebbels gives a speech on *The Collapse Of The Bourgeois Class State*. This is followed by a brawl between the haters of liberalism and the bourgeois in the name of the class, and the haters of liberalism and the bourgeois in the name of the race. Tables, chairs, fists and beer mugs fly through the air, and the injured and dead collapse on the floor, as the communist and fascist enemies of freedom battle for control. In the twenty first century, the West has descended into Pharus Hall, and violence between two rival Marxist gangs defines the last act of civilization.

## AMERICA'S HIGH COURT GREENLIGHTS DICTATORSHIP

*He acted as he saw fit. Others had no right even to be informed of his intentions. Rome still had a Senate and magistrates, but they were not free in their decision making. In all matters the decisive authority lay with Caesar alone*. (*Caesar* by Christian Meier). Julius Caesar. Dictator of Rome from 49 BC to his assassination on March 15, 44 BC. Roman statesman and subversive who destroyed the Roman Republic and established the Roman Empire. Enemy of the Roman Senate and Constitution who supplanted the rule of law by the rule of executive power, and took away the freedom of the Roman people. The dawn of the twentieth century brought the dawn of caesarism in the West, the overthrow of liberal constitutionalism, on orders from the mob, and the establishment of the strongman, the rule of force, the cult of personality and executive lawlessness. The century saw terrifying reincarnations of Caesar in the persons of Lenin, Stalin, Hitler, Mussolini, Roosevelt, Mao and Pol Pot, and the onset of executive overreach and criminality without precedent in modern times. This caesarism spreading across the West in the twentieth century was a symptom of a civilization in the throes of a mental and spiritual sickness, in the manner of ancient Rome stricken by the first caesar, and spiraling into extinction. Over the past 125 years, caesarism has been codified, placed into laws, codes and systems, and in the twenty first century, it has received official legal recognition in a nation which once led the free world and once served as a lighthouse amidst raging storms of tyranny. In the twenty first century, in the United States of America, in an illustration of just how sick the West has become, the United States Supreme Court has given its sanction and approval to caesarism. It has done this in two landmark decisions. On July 1, 2024, the Court ruled in a 6 to 3 decision that the president enjoys immunity from criminal prosecution for all acts committed within his official capacity. On June 27, 2025, the Court ruled in a 6 to 3 decision that lower court judges have no authority to issue universal injunctions to block unconstitutional executive orders across the nation. **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled that the president cannot be prosecuted for criminal acts, up to and including treason, commited as official acts, and that the president is above the law: "Today's decision to grant former Presidents criminal immunity reshapes the institution of the Presidency. It makes a mockery of the principle, foundational to our Constitution and system of Government, that no man is above the law. Because our Constitution does not shield a former President from answering for criminal and treasonous acts, I dissent." (*Trump vs United States*, Justices Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagan and Ketanji Jackson Brown, dissenting). **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled the president is a deity protected from criminal liability for his official acts. It ruled that the president enjoys absolute immunity from criminal prosecution in the exercise of his official duties. It ruled that the president's official acts, no matter how evil, criminal or corrupt, can never be held against him in any court of law. It ruled that the president cannot be prosecuted for private criminal offenses on the basis of criminal acts committed within his official capacity: "The majority makes three moves that, in effect, completely insulate Presidents from criminal liability. First, the majority creates absolute immunity for the President's exercise of 'core constitutional powers.' This holding is unnecessary on the facts of the indictment, and the majority's attempt to apply it to the facts expands the concept of core powers beyond any recognizable bounds. In any event, it is quickly eclipsed by the second move, which is to create expansive immunity for all 'official acts.' Whether described as presumptive or absolute, under the majority's rule, a President's use of any official power for any purpose, even the most corrupt, is immune from prosecution. That is just as bad as it sounds, and it is baseless. Finally, the majority declares that evidence concerning acts for which the President is immune can play no role in any criminal prosecution against him. That holding, which will prevent the Government from using a President's official acts to prove knowledge or intent in prosecuting private offenses, is nonsensical." (*Trump vs United States*, Justices Sonia Sotomayor, Elena Kagan and Ketanji Jackson Brown,

dissenting). **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled that the president is immune from judicial review and has the right violate the Constitution. It ruled that a president whose executive orders are unconstitutional should nonetheless be allowed to impose these orders throughout the nation. It ruled that America is a nation ruled by the will of a president unrestrained by the commands of the law: "The Court's decision to permit the Executive to violate the Constitution with respect to anyone who has not yet sued is an existential threat to the rule of law. It is important to recognize that the Executive's bid to vanquish socalled universal injunctions is, at bottom, a request for this Court's permission to engage in unlawful behavior. When the Government says 'do not allow the lower courts to enjoin executive action universally as a remedy for unconstitutional conduct,' what it is actually saying is that the Executive wants to continue doing something that a court has determined violates the Constitution—please allow this. That is some solicitation. With its ruling today, the majority largely grants the Government's wish. But, in my view, if this country is going to persist as a Nation of laws and not men, the Judiciary has no choice but to deny it." (*Trump v Casa Inc*, Justice Ketanji Jackson Brown, dissenting). **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled that the president is not constrained by the Constitution. It ruled that the nation's courts have no power to force the president to obey the law. It ruled that America is a special region separated from the legacies of the Enlightenment. In this special region, the president has the power to issue executive orders and subject people to his fancies and capricious turns of mind, and the people have no means of redress through the courts: "Stated simply, what it means to have a system of government that is bounded by law is that everyone is constrained by the law, no exceptions. And for that to actually happen, courts must have the power to order everyone (including the Executive) to follow the law—full stop. To conclude otherwise is to endorse the creation of a zone of lawlessness within which the Executive has the prerogative to take or leave the law as it wishes, and where individuals who would otherwise be entitled to the law's protection become subject to the Executive's whims instead." (*Trump v Casa Inc*, Justice Ketanji Jackson Brown, dissenting). **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled that the federal judiciary is impotent to curb the powers of the president. It ruled that the power of the president is not checked or balanced by the power of the courts. It ruled that the judiciary has no power to uphold the Constitution against the president. It ruled that the president's executive orders are allowed to be arbitrary and tyrannical. It ruled that the courts have no power to ensure universal adherence to the Constitution, including adherence by the president: "The majority cannot deny that our Constitution was designed to split the powers of a monarch between the governing branches to protect the People. Nor is it debatable that the role of the Judiciary in our constitutional scheme is to ensure fidelity to law. But these core values are strangely absent from today's decision. Focusing on inapt comparisons to impotent English tribunals, the majority ignores the Judiciary's foundational duty to uphold the Constitution and laws of the United States. The majority's ruling thus not only diverges from first principles, it is also profoundly dangerous, since it gives the Executive the go ahead to sometimes wield the kind of unchecked, arbitrary power the Founders crafted our Constitution to eradicate. The very institution our founding charter charges with the duty to ensure universal adherence to the law now requires judges to shrug and turn their backs to intermittent lawlessness. With deep disillusionment, I dissent." (*Trump v Casa Inc*, Justice Ketanji Jackson Brown, dissenting). **Caesarism.** The Supreme Court ruled that federal judges have no power to order the president to follow the law. It ruled that the judiciary has no power to protect or defend constitutional rights against executive decrees. It ruled that the president is free to violate the constitutional rights of anybody and everybody in the nation except the particular plaintiffs named in suits against him, which number constitutes an infinitesimal fraction in an oceanic national population: "I view the demise of the notion that an federal judge can order the Executive to adhere to the Constitution—full stop—as a sad day for America. The majority's unpersuasive effort to justify this result makes it sadder still. It is the responsibility of each and every jurist to hold the line. But the Court now requires judges to look the

other way after finding that the Executive is violating the law, shamefully permitting unlawful conduct to continue unabated. Today's ruling thus surreptitiously stymies the Judiciary's core duty to protect and defend constitutional rights. It does this indirectly, by preventing lower courts from telling the Executive that it has to stop engaging in conduct that violates the Constitution. Instead, now, a court's power to prevent constitutional violations comes with an asterisk—a court can make the Executive cease its unconstitutional conduct *but* only with respect to the particular plaintiffs named in the lawsuit before them, leaving the Executive free to violate the constitutional rights of anyone and everyone else." (*Trump v Casa Inc*, Justice Ketanji Jackson Brown, dissenting). **Caesarism.** March 15, 44 BC. The Senate, Rome, Italy. Julius Caesar is approached on his golden throne by assassins led by Marcus Junius Brutus. He is attacked with daggers and stabbed twenty three times, and dies instantly. In 42 BC, an *Ides of March* coin is minted, whose obverse depicts Brutus and reverse depicts the daggers used to kill a tyrant and win back freedom. The freedom won back would not last, as the mob led by Marc Antony would defeat the Senate. Backed by the mob, caesarism became entrenched in Rome and forever banished the free Republic. Backed by the mob, caesarism became entrenched in America in the twentieth century. In the twenty first century, the United States Supreme Court has placed its official seal of approval on the mob's daggers killing freedom.

## BLACK POWER SLAUGHTERS WHITES AT SUMMER CAMP

In Texas Hill Country, along the banks of the Guadaloupe River, is a camp. Surrounded by cypress, oak and pecan trees, it is called Camp Mystic, and it is a summer camp for girls aged eight to seventeen. Providing a host of activities, from sports to theater to arts and crafts, the camp seeks to promote the moral and spiritual health of its campers: "In the Mystic spirit, their goals [owners Dick and Tweety Eastland] are to boost every camper's self confidence and to nurture the development of their individual character." (campmystic.com). On July 4, 2025, a rage from the rivers and skies hit this one hundred year old camp. For the next three days, surging flood waters killed 27 campers and counsellors, swept away Camp Mystic, and left it in a state of ruination, beyond all recognition. Sheltering on high ground without access to food, power or clean water, the survivors of the rain bomb which exploded at Camp Mystic were trapped beyond the gates of hell. But the horror which descended on the camp and filled funeral homes with body bags was surpassed by a greater horror which floods the West today. It has overwhelmed neighborhoods, torn up streets and reduced cities to wastelands. Proceeding from the waters of the number one sewer religion of our time, it is the horror of race hate. This sewer is known as Marxism, the greatest, largest and most powerful sewer in modern times, a sewer whose adherents form the ruling majorities in all the nations of West, a sewer which has fouled free institutions with tribal politics of all stripes and varieties. In the final stages of Western civilization which commenced in the twentieth century, Marxist identity politics has become a synonym and byword for the cultural and political establishment, and flooding the land with evermultiplying forms of collectivism, expressed in the politics of gender, race, class, ethnicity and sexual orientation, it has buried the liberal ideas of human universality, individual rights and the Enlightenment, and brought forth an age of tribal oppression. In the aftermath of the torrential rains devastating Camp Mystic, Marxist race hate has reared its ugly head and demanded death to the white girls of the camp. **Race Hate.** Listen to the voices of black supremacy swirling in the floodwaters of Marxism and hear the train of modernity go off the rails. The voices proclaim that Camp Mystic is a white racist camp because blacks have not applied. They proclaim that camps which have white campers are white racist camps. They proclaim that camps which have white campers discriminate aganst Asians and hispanics. They proclaim that camps with white campers are exclusionary camps against nonwhite campers. They proclaim that white campers at Camp Mystic should not be rescued from floods. They proclaim that concern for the fate of white campers at Camp Mystic is a sign of white racism. They proclaim that people who seek to help the

victims of the floods at Camp Mystic, to donate money to them, to give them food and shelter and to rebuild the camp, are the voices of white racism. They proclaim that people who grieve over the deaths of the campers and counsellors at Camp Mystic are white racists. They proclaim that people concerned about the victims of the floods at Camp Mystic are advocates for prisons and concentration camps for nonwhites and the deportation of nonwhites. They proclaim that people concerned about the missing campers and counsellors at Camp Mystic are white racists. They proclaim that camps with white campers are exclusionary zones against nonwhites. They proclaim that summer camps with white campers are whites only enclaves. They proclaim that a progressive summer camp is a black supremacist camp agitating campers and fighting for the overthrow of Western liberal institutions: "Sade Perkins, who served on the Houston Food Insecurity Board until January 2025, is facing backlash for a TikTok video she posted this weekend. She was appointed by former Mayor Sylvester Turner in 2023. In the viral video, Perkins accused Camp Mystic of intentional racial segregation. 'I know I'm probably going to get canceled for this, but Camp Mystic is a whites only girls' Christian camp,' Perkins claimed. 'They don't even have a token Asian, they don't have a token black person. It is a all white, white only conservative Christian camp. If you ain't white, you ain't right. You ain't getting in, you ain't going, period.' Perkins framed her comments in the context of media coverage surrounding the camp's ongoing disaster response efforts. 'You best believe, especially in today's political climate, if this were a group of hispanic girls, this would not be getting this type of coverage that they're getting,' she said. 'No one would give a fuck.' She went on to falsely claim that the camp was deliberately exclusionary. 'With all the black people in East Texas, with all the hispanic people in East Texas, somehow, some way, you have carved out an all white, whites only enclave in East Texas for your white children. Yeah, I have a problem with that. I have a big problem with that.' Camp Mystic is located along the Guadalupe River in Kerr County, where severe flooding devastated the region over Independence Day weekend. According to its website, the camp is 'grieving the loss of 27 campers and counselors.' Perkins suggested public concern for the victims was being weaponized and politicized. 'They want you to have sympathy for these people. They want you to get out of your bed and to come out of your home and to go find these people and donate your money to go find these people,' she said. 'Meanwhile they are deporting your family members. Meanwhile, they're setting up concentration camps and prisons for your family members.' The comments sparked swift condemnation from local leaders. Houston Mayor John Whitmire's office identified Perkins as the one who posted the video and said steps are underway to ensure she is not reinstated. Her term expired earlier this year. 'The comments shared on social media are deeply inappropriate and have no place in a decent society, especially as families grieve the confirmed deaths and the ongoing search for the missing,' the mayor's office stated. 'Mayor John Whitmire will not reappoint her and is taking immediate steps to remove her permanently from the board.' " (*'If You Ain't White, You Ain't Right': Ex Houston Appointee Claims Flood Devastated Camp Mystic Is 'Whites Only'* by Jasmyn Jordan). **Race Hate.** Listen to the voices of Marxist identity politics proclaim that the more dead and missing whites there are in the aftermath of the floods at Camp Mystic, the better this will be for the cause of social justice. The deaths of white victims of the floods at Camp Mystic should be celebrated. When floods strike summer camps, only black and hispanic campers should be saved and be the objects of compassion and concern. A progressive summer camp is a left wing, racially charged, black supremacist camp, promoting Marxist legends and narratives, and hating, mocking and killing whites: "As Texans mourn the 91 confirmed dead and search teams race to find dozens still missing, several prominent Democrats and left wing activists have responded not with compassion but with political hostility, racially charged mockery, and misleading narratives. As the scale of the tragedy unfolded, a number of left aligned voices took to social media to mock the victims, including young Christian girls swept away in the flood. The flood, which devastated Kerr County over the July 4 weekend, sparked national headlines—and a disturbing

wave of politically charged commentary from the left, some of it celebrating the deaths of Republican voters and children. A viral TikTok video features Sade Perkins, a former Democrat appointee to Houston's Food Insecurity Board, who used the deaths of children at Camp Mystic to launch a racially charged rant against white Christians. Perkins also claimed that if the missing campers were hispanic, they wouldn't receive as much media attention." (*Far Left Activists And Former Appointee Mock Texas Flood Victims* by J. Galt). **Race Hate.** Listen to the voices of Marxist identity politics proclaim that the devastation of Camp Mystic by floods and the deaths of campers were positive events because the victims were white. Camp Mystic must be viewed in the context of the fact that it has white campers, and on that basis it must be condemned. A progressive camp reflects black demographics, has a black hothouse political climate, promotes black intersectional politics, and is a sanctuary for black gays, black lesbians, black bisexuals and black transgenders: "Houston Mayor John Whitmire is taking steps to permanently remove a member of the city's food insecurity board following racial comments she made on social media about the devastating flooding in the Hill Country that decimated Camp Mystic, a private summer camp for girls. In a video posted to TikTok on Saturday, Perkins told her audience there was context that 'needs to be said' regarding Camp Mystic. She made reference to the area's demographics, and said that in 'today's political climate,' a group of hispanic girls who went missing wouldn't get the same attention. She added that 'these same MAGA Christians' would be 'thrilled' if the victims 'floating down that river' were LGBTQ." (*Houston Mayor Whitmire Permanently Removes Food Insecurity Board Member After Camp Mystic Comments* by Abby Church). **Race Hate.** Listen to the voices of Marxist identity politics proclaim that summer camps with whites are products of white racism and white supremacy, and the campers should be allowed to die in the flood waters. When natural disasters strike a summer camp, the only people who should be rescued are are black and hispanic lesbians, gays, bisexuals and transgenders. A progressive summer camp is a sanctuary for black hoodlum, black fugitives and black illegal aliens: "Sade Perkins, a former member of the Houston Food Insecurity Board, is facing backlash due to her recent comments about Camp Mystic in Hunt, Texas. She uploaded a video on TikTok after the Christian summer camp was hit with flash floods, leading to the death of five young girls, while many were reported missing. In another TikTok video, Sade Perkins doubled down on her stance, mentioning the detentions carried out by ICE. Later, she made her TikTok private, but posted a story on Facebook sharing an image of *Fox News*' report. Perkins said, 'Yo, I made it to Fox News. I am gagging right now. Fox fuckiing News! You people are insane, and the video is still up and I still stand by ten toes down on the motherfucking ground. That shit is racism and white supremacy. Period. Period. Period. If it was hispanic kids, if it was LGBTQ kids that got swept away y'all wouldn't give a fuck and the same MAGA people would be saying that they deserve it and be saying that this is god's will. So, fuck all of y'all. This is hilarious, though.' " (*Who Is Sade Perkins And What Did She Say? All About Former Houston Appointee Under Fire Over Texas Camp Mystic Flood Comments* by Ashim Arora). **Race Hate.** In the aftermath of the raging floodwaters devastating Camp Mystic, the sounds of songs and girls playing have been been replaced. They have been replaced by the sounds of chainsaws and heavy equipment clearing away debris, and the sounds of black power celebrating the deaths of white campers.

## THE COLOR OF MICROENTITIES

Common sense is knowledge which is universal and held without argumentation. It is knowledge of fundamental facts a sane, normal, adult person possesses. Individuals devoid of common sense are individuals devoid of the sound mental processes necessary to flourish as human beings. The facts of common sense are the facts necessary to live a human life and those who reject such facts are inhuman. Common sense tells us that when we perceive, we perceive something, and that the perception of nothing is a contradiction in terms. Common sense tells us that this something is a physical object, and

that to deny that physical objects exist and are perceived is to assert that man is blind because he has eyes and is blind because he sees. Common sense tells us that whatever exists in the world has some color, and that just as whatever is colored is necessarily extended, so conversely whatever is extended is necessarily colored, and that an object which had no color would be an object transparent and identical to space. Science tells us that the colors of the objects we perceive are illusions, the products of the frequencies of photons impinging upon the retina. They are the products of electrical signals interpreted by the brain. But common sense tells us that microentities, such as electrons, protons and the like, possess real colors. These colors have no relation to photon frequencies and are thus unperceivable and unknowable. But they necesssarily exist because microentities are extended and exist. The colors of microentities are natural colors but have no effect on anything outside themselves, and thus can never be detected by any direct or indirect means. We can know nothing about them and can guess nothing about them, and can know one thing and one thing alone: that they exist and are as real as a microentity's surface, mass, shape, texture and motion. There is necessarily something on the surface of a micrentity in addition to its shape and texture, or else the entity is transparent and has no surface distinct from space, and that additional something is some color. This color is completely different from the illusory colors we perceive around us produced by photon frequencies, but it is a color in the sense that it is a property existing on the surface of the entity by virtue of which the entity is nontransparent. In the perceived world, colors are effects and not causes, being the effects of photon frequencies, while in the world of microentities, colors are causes and not effects, being the causes of nontransparency. **Science Today.** Rejecting the facts of common sense, scientists today deny that microentities have colors. They proclaim that since the colors we see are caused by the reflected wavelengths of photons, no colors whatever can be held to reside in microentities as intrinsic properties of them. They proclaim that the perception of color is produced by photon motions and brain mechanisms, and microentities are themselves colorless, neutral and transparent: "Let's start with the question 'how do we perceive color?' Our eyes, when receiving light, measure not only its intensity, but also very roughly, its wavelength. We seldom see really monochromatic light; usually what we see is a mixture of different wavelengths. Our eyes have evolved to perceive one particular mixture, in which proportions of various wavelengths are similar, as in natural sunlight, as neutral or white. Now, if you look at some object that reflects or scatters light, and it reflects all wavelengths of light in the same way, then you'll see the objects color as neutral. When you see a colored object, it means that it reflects some wavelength stronger than others, and therefore the reflected light reaching your eyes has a different wavelength composition and you see it as colored. So, how is it with electrons? Do they reflect all wavelengths in the same way? Well, it depends. If they are bound in atoms and molecules, then they can only absorb or reflect specific wavelengths. In fact, most colors of objects that you see around you come from this mechanism: electrons bound in dye molecules scatter specific wavelengths of light. So, does it mean that electrons have color? No, because the same electron bound in different molecules would produce different colors, so it is not the property of the electron. To answer the question we have to free electrons from any atomic or molecular bonds and look at a free electron. Unfortunately, for a single free electron, you would not see much, but fortunately you have a chance to look at a large ensemble of almost free electrons every day—by looking at metallic surfaces, for instance, in mirrors. A metal consists of atoms in a crystal lattice. While most electrons are bound to to the atoms, some of them (those on the outermost electron layers) can roam practically free through the crystal. And their interaction with light is very similar to the way free electrons would interact. As you can see in the mirror, white light reflected in it remains white—that means that electrons are color neutral. Ah, yes, you might ask about gold. It is yellow, not neutral. It does not mean that the electrons in gold are yellow. The reason gold is not white lies in those electrons that are not free, but remain bound to gold atoms. The free electrons in a piece of gold would happily reflect every color of light, as

in other metals; however, the atoms of gold can absorb some of the blue light, so in effect less blue light is reflected and you see that yellow color." (*What Color Is An Electron? Forbes*, November 26, 2016). **Science Today.** Rejecting the facts of common sense, scientists today proclaim that since the colors we perceive are produced by reflected photon wavelengths, no other colors can be held to exist: "Electrons, protons and neutrons do not have a 'color' as we normally understand the term. Something has a color when light strikes it and it absorbs all but one wavelength (say, blue) and thus the object is perceived as being blue. (This is a simplified explanation but in essence that's it.) A light wave (in the visible part of the spectrum) has too long of a wavelength to reflect off one of these particles, so not only can we not see them, but they don't exhibit a color. (We can't even see them thru a microscope because they simply don't reflect light. That's why we need electron microscopes to see very small things--and even these can't see subatomic particles) As for molecules: it depends on how big the molecule is. If it's a salt molecule, NaCl, that's probably too small to reflect light. But if it's DNA or a polymer, then probably it will exhibit a color, assuming you have a powerful enough optical microscope." (*Do Molecules Have Colors? What About Electrons, Protons And Neutrons?* PhysicsLink.com). **Science Today.** Rejecting the facts of common sense, scientists today proclaim that all colors are the products of electron and photon processes, interactions, wavelengths, energy levels and excited states, and there is no such thing as the color of a microentity: "Color might seem like an inherent property of matter, but it’s actually the result of a process– specifically, how matter interacts with light. In an atom, the electrons orbiting the nucleus absorb the incoming light energy, and jump into higher energy levels. These socalled excited states are unstable, and in returning to their original state, the electrons reemit certain wavelengths of light, which we see as a specific color. But a solitary electron–or any subatomic particles simply mops up the incoming light energy, and thus lacks any specific color."(*Do Subatomic Particles Have A Color?* BBC Science Focus)? **Science Today.** Rejecting the facts of common sense, scientists today proclaim that all colors are produced by photon shining, emissions and reflections, and it is thus meaningless to speak of such a thing as the color of a microentity itself. Microentities are themselves transparent and identical to nothingness: "Atoms do not have colors--they are clear. What is the color of something? The color of something is the color of light that it reflects most when light of all colors shines on it. A green leaf is green because when the sun shines its light on the leaf, the color that the leaf reflects best to our eyes is the green. The sun's light contains all the colors of the rainbow (red orange yellow green blue indigo) but the color that is reflected most to your eye is the green. The part of an atom or molecule that reflects the light is the electrons on the outside of the atom. Now the color comes in two steps. Step 1: The electrons first absorb some of the light that hits the atom or molecule. Step 2: The electrons which absorbed the light then emit (give out) some light. If the electrons give out exactly the same light as they absorb, the substance is colorless, however if the color of the light emitted is different from the color absorbed, then the substance has a color. In the case of the leaf, the leaf absorbs all the colors but only reflects green. For atoms and regular lighting-- whatever the atom--the absorbed light and the emitted light are the same. That is because for a single atom the electrons have to absorb and emit the same light. In molecules, where two or more atoms share some of their electrons, the molecules can absorb light of one color and emit another color. This works whether the atoms are the same (eg two nitrogen atoms) or different elements. Paints and dyes (the chemicals used to color clothes) are complicated molecules where the arrangement of the electrons make the molecules reflect specific colors very well." (*Physics Questions People Ask Fermilab: The Color Of Atoms* by Stephan Pordes). **Science Today.** Rejecting the facts of common sense, scientists today proclaim that colors are produced when electrons in excited states descend to ground states and emit photons. Nothing else produces colors, and thus microentities, being unconnected with electron states or frequencies of photons, are devoid of color: "Colors depend on the frequency of light. In atoms, there are various discrete energy levels that electrons can occupy. A

photon that has energy (which depends of its frequency) which matches *exactly* the difference between the electron and the next excited state, will get absorbed by that electron and get excited to the next energy level. But that energy level is not stable, and in the order of usually nanoseconds it will decay back to the ground state by emitting a photon to get rid of that extra energy it has. This gives rise to color." (*Is An Object's Color/Shine/Texture Dependent On Its Electrons Only?* physics.stackexchange.com). **Common Sense.** Plain, self evident, known to every person alive, and known to people throughout the ages in possession of properly functioning mental faculties, are the facts of common sense. Among these facts is the statement that to be is to be colored. It is the statement that physical existence possesses extension and possesses color, or else it is not physical and is an extension of transparent space. The color of a microentity is a real ontological color. It is not a color as normally construed because it is a surface property of an object and not a subjective sensation. By definition unperceivable, it would provide a complete description of a microentity if perceived. The recognition of its existence is a requirement of human sanity.

## AMERICA'S MOTION PICTURE PRODUCTION CODE: PROS AND CONS

*If motion pictures present stories that will affect lives for the better, they can become the most powerful force for the improvement of mankind*. Adopted in 1930 and enforced in 1934, the Motion Picture Production Code was an attempt at self censorship, setting forth in detail what was and was not allowed to be shown, in America's most popular form of entertainment. From 1934 to 1966, America's film industry agreed to submit its films to its trade association, the Motion Picture Producers and Distributors of America, later known as the Motion Picture Association of America, for approval. The certificate of approval reflected the morals, manners and mores of the time, and was both salutory and harmful to the industry. The drafter of the Motion Picture Production Code, Jesuit priest Daniel Lord described the Code's regulation of sex content in films, a regulation which would harm legitimate artistic expression: "Silent smut had been bad. Vocal smut cried to the censors for vengeance." At Sunday masses, Knights of Columbus meetings and parochial school assemblies throughout America, religionists recited a pledge composed by the National Legion of Decency, an influential Catholic protest group, to avoid films containing sex content not approved by the Catholic Church, and this pledge gave impetus to the enforcement of the Code: "I condemn absolutely those debauching motion pictures which, with other degrading agencies, are corrupting public morals and promoting a sex mania in our land. Considering these evils, I hereby promise to remain away from all motion pictures except those which do not offend decency and Christian morality." Though based on religion, the Code contained prohibitions on the depiction of moral ambiguity, prohibitions on the exaltation of evil, injustice and criminality, prohibitions on gratuitous depictions of violence, and instructions allowing scenes of violence to be shown only if essential to the plot, and these restrictions would elevate films above the decadence peddled by Hollywood in the preCode years. On July 15, 1934, the Motion Picture Producers and Distributors of America set up the Production Code Administration, whose task was to screen film scripts for violations of the Code, and this day commenced the era of film industry self censorship both good and bad. Films which passed the review received the Production Code Seal of Approval, a logo encircling the MPPDA initials, which appeared on the film credits. Listen to this flawed masterpiece of cinematic guidance and hear the voice of religion commingled with the voice of reason. The Code's voice of reason defines wholesome cinematic entertainment, correct thinking, and moral spiritual progress to be marked by the following. The film rejects the doctrine of moral relativism and shows the absolute, objective and universal distinction between good and evil. The film respects natural moral laws and natural justice. The film does not sympathize with, romanticize or glorify evil or crime. The film shows that crime does not pay and evil is always punished. The film shows that virtue is always rewarded and leads to a flourishing human life. The film does not depict

scenes of gratuitous violence or brutality. Presenting a stark contrast to its voice of reason, the Code's voice of religion represents the entrance of the Catholic Church into the film industry, and the restrictions imposed needlessly impaired the course of film making during the three decades of the Code's enforcement. The Code's voice of religion defines wholesome cinematic entertainment, correct thinking, and moral spiritual progress to be marked by adherence to the commands issued by the Church, not because such commands are intrinsically right, but simply because they issue from the Church. The Code's voice of religion defines wholesome cinematic entertainment, correct thinking, and moral spiritual progress to be marked by the following. The film does not depict illegal drug traffic because such is not sanctioned by the Church. The film upholds the sanctity of Church marriage and the Church home. The film contains no sex relationships not sanctioned by the Church. The film does not defend adultery because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not explicitly depict seduction or rape because such acts are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict homosexuality because it is a sex perversion forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict sex relations between members of different races because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict scenes of childbirth because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict venereal diseases because they are caused by activities forbidden by the Church. The film does not promote sex hygiene because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict vulgarity because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict obscenity because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict profanity because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict nudity because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict undressing scenes because such are forbidden by the Church. The does not depict acts of bodily exposure not sanctioned by the Church. The film does not depict the exposure of children's sex organs because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict erotic dancing because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict lustful kissing, embraces, postures or gestures because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict criticisms of religions, their ministers, clerics or ceremonies, because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict disrespect toward the flag because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict politically incorrect criticisms of the history, institutions, people or citizenry of other nations because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict erotic titles because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict lawful execution scenes because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict violent interrogation methods because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict the branding of people or animals because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict cruelty to children or animals because such are forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict prostitution because such is forbidden by the Church. The film does not depict surgical operations because such depictions are forbidden by the Church: "Motion picture producers recognize the high trust and confidence which have been placed in them by the people of the world and which have made motion pictures a universal form of entertainment. They recognize their responsibility to the public because of this trust and because entertainment and art are important influences in the life of a nation. Hence, though regarding motion pictures primarily as entertainment without any explicit purpose of teaching or propaganda, they know that the motion picture within its own field of entertainment may be directly responsible for spiritual or moral progress, for higher types of social life, and for much correct thinking. During the rapid transition from silent to talking pictures they have realized the necessity and the opportunity of subscribing to a Code to govern the production of talking pictures and of reacknowledging this responsibility. On their part, they ask from the public and from public leaders a sympathetic understanding of their purposes and problems and a spirit of cooperation that will allow them the freedom and opportunity necessary to bring the motion picture to a still higher level of wholesome entertainment for all the people. **General Principles.** 1. No picture shall be produced

which will lower the moral standards of those who see it. Hence the sympathy of the audience should never be thrown to the side of crime, wrongdoing, evil or sin. 2. Correct standards of life, subject only to the requirements of drama and entertainment, shall be presented. 3. Law, natural or human, shall not be ridiculed, nor shall sympathy be created for its violation. **Particular Applications. I—Crimes Against the Law.** These shall never be presented in such a way as to throw sympathy with the crime as against law and justice or to inspire others with a desire for imitation. 1. *Murder* a. The technique of murder must be presented in a way that will not inspire imitation. b. Brutal killings are not to be presented in detail. c. Revenge in modern times shall not be justified. 2. *Methods of Crime* should not be explicitly presented. a. Theft, robbery, safe-cracking, and dynamiting of trains, mines, buildings, etc., should not be detailed in method. b. Arson must be subject to the same safeguards. c. The use of firearms should be restricted to essentials. d. Methods of smuggling should not be presented. 3. *Illegal drug traffic* must never be presented. 4. *The use of liquor* in American life, when not required by the plot or for proper characterization, will not be shown. **II—Sex.** The sanctity of the institution of marriage and the home shall be upheld. Pictures shall not infer that low forms of sex relationship are the accepted or common thing. 1. *Adultery,* sometimes necessary plot material, must not be explicitly treated, or justified, or presented attractively. 2. *Scenes of Passion.* a. They should not be introduced when not essential to the plot. b. Excessive and lustful kissing, lustful embraces, suggestive postures and gestures, are not to be shown. c. In general passion should so be treated that these scenes do not stimulate the lower and baser element. 3. *Seduction or Rape.* a. They should never be more than suggested, and only when essential for the plot, and even then never shown by explicit method. b. They are never the proper subject for comedy. 4. *Sex perversion* or any inference to it is forbidden. 5. *White slavery* shall not be treated. 6. *Miscegenation* (sex relationships between the white and black races) is forbidden. 7. *Sex hygiene* and venereal diseases are not subjects for motion pictures. 8. Scenes of *actual childbirth,* in fact or in silhouette, are never to be presented. 9. *Children's sex organs* are never to be exposed. **III—Vulgarity.** The treatment of low, disgusting, unpleasant, though not necessarily evil, subjects should be subject always to the dictates of good taste and a regard for the sensibilities of the audience. **IV—Obscenity.** Obscenity in word, gesture, reference, song, joke, or by suggestion (even when likely to be understood only by part of the audience) is forbidden. **V—Profanity.** Pointed profanity (this includes the words, God, Lord, Jesus, Christ—unless used reverently—Hell, S.O.B. damn, Gawd), or every other profane or vulgar expression, however used, is forbidden. **VI—Costume.** 1. *Complete nudity* is never permitted. This includes nudity in fact or in silhouette, or any lecherous or licentious notice thereof by other characters in the picture. 2. *Undressing scenes* should be avoided, and never used save where essential to the plot. 3. *Indecent or undue exposure* is forbidden. 4. *Dancing costumes* intended to permit undue exposure or indecent movements in the dance are forbidden. **VII—Dances.** 1. Dances suggesting or representing sexual actions or indecent passion are forbidden. 2. Dances which emphasize indecent movements are to be regarded as obscene. **VIII—Religion.** 1. No film or episode may throw ridicule on any religious faith. 2. *Ministers of religion* in their character as ministers of religion should not be used as comic characters or as villains. 3. *Ceremonies* of any definite religion should be carefully and respectfully handled. **IX—Locations.** The treatment of bedrooms must be governed by good taste and delicacy. **X—National Feelings.** 1. *The use of the Flag* shall be consistently respectful. 2. *The history,* institutions, prominent people and citizenry of other nations shall be represented fairly. **XI—Titles.** Salacious, indecent, or obscene titles shall not be used. **XII—Repellent Subjects.** The following subjects must be treated within the careful limits of good taste: 1. *Actual hangings* or electrocutions as legal punishments for crime. 2. *Third Degree* methods. 3. *Brutality* and possible gruesomeness. 4. *Branding* of people or animals. 5. *Apparent cruelty* to children or animals. 6. *The sale of women* or a woman selling her virtue. 7. *Surgical operations*." (*The Motion Picture Production Code Of 1930*). In 1966, Hollywood abolished the Motion Picture Production Code

and replaced it with a ratings system. The demise of the Code meant the demise of both religious interference and rational guidance in films. The demise of reason and old time religion was replaced by a new religion of brute animality, reflecting the West's stage of civilizational collapse. The floodgates were now opened to depictions of evil triumphing over good and to all manner of graphic, gratuitous violence. The floodwaters swirled with films such as *Bonnie and Clyde* and *A Clockwork Orange*. Civilized cinemagoers have devised a code of film production based exclusively on reason. It consists of the following restrictions. Films shall depict states of moral clarity and the triumph of good over evil. Films shall not depict acts of violence except when essential to the plot. Films shall consist of sequential, cause and effect arrangements of actions leading to a conclusion, and shall exclude the depiction of chaos, incoherence, meaningless events, streams of consciousness and formalist psychoses. In the twenty first century, in the final, terrifying act of a one thousand year saga called Western civilization, a rational film production code is as anachronistic as the Enlightenment.